# Universal properties of Delannoy categories

Andrew Snowden

October 18, 2025

University of Michigan

### Logistical information

### The paper this talk is based on

Universal properties of Delannoy categories

by Kevin Coulembier, Nate Harman, and Andrew Snowden

https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.10317

See the paper for references

### Logistical information

#### The paper this talk is based on

Universal properties of Delannoy categories

by Kevin Coulembier, Nate Harman, and Andrew Snowden

https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.10317

See the paper for references

### Slides on my website

https://public.websites.umich.edu/~asnowden/ams-slides.pdf

# §1. Overview

Finding new symmetric tensor categories is a challenging problem.

Finding new symmetric tensor categories is a challenging problem.

Deligne (2006) gave an interesting example  $\underline{\mathsf{Rep}}(\mathfrak{S}_t)$ .

Finding new symmetric tensor categories is a challenging problem.

Deligne (2006) gave an interesting example  $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathfrak{S}_t)$ .

Knop (2006) gave some additional examples.

Finding new symmetric tensor categories is a challenging problem.

Deligne (2006) gave an interesting example  $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathfrak{S}_t)$ .

Knop (2006) gave some additional examples.

Harman–Snowden (2022) gave a general construction using oligomorphic groups. It includes the above, but produces many truly new examples, such as the Delannoy categories.

Finding new symmetric tensor categories is a challenging problem.

Deligne (2006) gave an interesting example  $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathfrak{S}_t)$ .

Knop (2006) gave some additional examples.

Harman–Snowden (2022) gave a general construction using oligomorphic groups. It includes the above, but produces many truly new examples, such as the Delannoy categories.

#### General research direction

Explore oligomorphic tensor categories.

Deligne's category has a universal property, as does Knop's  $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathbf{GL}_t(\mathbf{F}_q))$  by Entova-Aizenbud and Heidersdorf (2022).

Deligne's category has a universal property, as does Knop's  $Rep(\mathbf{GL}_t(\mathbf{F}_q))$  by Entova-Aizenbud and Heidersdorf (2022).

### Main question for this talk

Do other oligomorphic categories have a universal property?

Deligne's category has a universal property, as does Knop's  $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathbf{GL}_t(\mathbf{F}_q))$  by Entova-Aizenbud and Heidersdorf (2022).

### Main question for this talk

Do other oligomorphic categories have a universal property?

Our work provides a positive answer:

• There is a universal property in general.

Deligne's category has a universal property, as does Knop's  $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathbf{GL}_t(\mathbf{F}_q))$  by Entova-Aizenbud and Heidersdorf (2022).

### Main question for this talk

Do other oligomorphic categories have a universal property?

Our work provides a positive answer:

- There is a universal property in general.
- For the Delannoy categories, we can make it more precise.

Deligne's category has a universal property, as does Knop's  $\operatorname{Rep}(\mathbf{GL}_t(\mathbf{F}_q))$  by Entova-Aizenbud and Heidersdorf (2022).

### Main question for this talk

Do other oligomorphic categories have a universal property?

Our work provides a positive answer:

- There is a universal property in general.
- For the Delannoy categories, we can make it more precise.

This talk will explain these results.

# \_\_\_\_\_

§2. Étale and Frobenius algebras

#### **Definition**

A tensor category is an additive k-linear category  $\mathfrak T$  equipped with a k-bilinear symmetric monoidal structure. A tensor functor is a k-linear symmetric monoidal functor. (k is a field.)

#### **Definition**

A tensor category is an additive k-linear category  $\mathfrak{T}$  equipped with a k-bilinear symmetric monoidal structure. A tensor functor is a k-linear symmetric monoidal functor. (k is a field.)

#### **Definition**

A pre-Tannakian category is a tensor category  $\mathfrak T$  such that:

#### **Definition**

A tensor category is an additive k-linear category  $\mathfrak{T}$  equipped with a k-bilinear symmetric monoidal structure. A tensor functor is a k-linear symmetric monoidal functor. (k is a field.)

#### **Definition**

A pre-Tannakian category is a tensor category  $\mathfrak T$  such that:

•  $\mathfrak{T}$  is abelian and all objects have finite length.

#### **Definition**

A tensor category is an additive k-linear category  $\mathfrak{T}$  equipped with a k-bilinear symmetric monoidal structure. A tensor functor is a k-linear symmetric monoidal functor. (k is a field.)

#### **Definition**

A pre-Tannakian category is a tensor category  ${\mathfrak T}$  such that:

- ullet  ${\mathfrak T}$  is abelian and all objects have finite length.
- All Hom spaces in  $\mathfrak T$  are finite dimensional.

#### **Definition**

A tensor category is an additive k-linear category  $\mathfrak{T}$  equipped with a k-bilinear symmetric monoidal structure. A tensor functor is a k-linear symmetric monoidal functor. (k is a field.)

#### **Definition**

A pre-Tannakian category is a tensor category  ${\mathfrak T}$  such that:

- ullet  ${\mathfrak T}$  is abelian and all objects have finite length.
- All Hom spaces in  $\mathfrak T$  are finite dimensional.
- $\mathfrak{T}$  is rigid, i.e., every objects has a dual.

#### **Definition**

A tensor category is an additive k-linear category  $\mathfrak{T}$  equipped with a k-bilinear symmetric monoidal structure. A tensor functor is a k-linear symmetric monoidal functor. (k is a field.)

#### **Definition**

A pre-Tannakian category is a tensor category  ${\mathfrak T}$  such that:

- ullet  ${\mathfrak T}$  is abelian and all objects have finite length.
- ullet All Hom spaces in  ${\mathfrak T}$  are finite dimensional.
- $\bullet$   $\mathfrak T$  is rigid, i.e., every objects has a dual.
- End(1) = k, where 1 is the tensor unit.

#### **Definition**

A (special commutative) Frobenius algebra in a tensor category is an object A with maps

$$\eta\colon \mathbf{1}\to A, \quad \epsilon\colon A\to \mathbf{1}, \quad m\colon A\otimes A\to A, \quad \Delta\colon A\to A\otimes A$$

#### **Definition**

A (special commutative) Frobenius algebra in a tensor category is an object A with maps

$$\eta\colon \mathbf{1}\to A, \quad \epsilon\colon A\to \mathbf{1}, \quad m\colon A\otimes A\to A, \quad \Delta\colon A\to A\otimes A$$

such that:

•  $(A, m, \eta)$  is an associative commutative unital algebra

#### **Definition**

A (special commutative) Frobenius algebra in a tensor category is an object A with maps

$$\eta\colon \mathbf{1}\to A, \quad \epsilon\colon A\to \mathbf{1}, \quad m\colon A\otimes A\to A, \quad \Delta\colon A\to A\otimes A$$

- ullet  $(A, m, \eta)$  is an associative commutative unital algebra
- $(A, \Delta, \epsilon)$  is a co-ACU co-algebra

#### **Definition**

A (special commutative) Frobenius algebra in a tensor category is an object A with maps

$$\eta\colon \mathbf{1}\to A$$
,  $\epsilon\colon A\to \mathbf{1}$ ,  $m\colon A\otimes A\to A$ ,  $\Delta\colon A\to A\otimes A$ 

- $(A, m, \eta)$  is an associative commutative unital algebra
- ullet  $(A, \Delta, \epsilon)$  is a co-ACU co-algebra
- $(\mu \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes \Delta) = \Delta \circ m = (id \otimes \mu) \circ (\Delta \otimes id)$

#### **Definition**

A (special commutative) Frobenius algebra in a tensor category is an object A with maps

$$\eta\colon \mathbf{1}\to A$$
,  $\epsilon\colon A\to \mathbf{1}$ ,  $m\colon A\otimes A\to A$ ,  $\Delta\colon A\to A\otimes A$ 

- ullet  $(A, m, \eta)$  is an associative commutative unital algebra
- ullet  $(A, \Delta, \epsilon)$  is a co-ACU co-algebra
- $(\mu \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes \Delta) = \Delta \circ m = (id \otimes \mu) \circ (\Delta \otimes id)$
- $\mu \circ \Delta = id_A$  (the special condition).

#### **Definition**

A (special commutative) Frobenius algebra in a tensor category is an object A with maps

$$\eta\colon \mathbf{1}\to A$$
,  $\epsilon\colon A\to \mathbf{1}$ ,  $m\colon A\otimes A\to A$ ,  $\Delta\colon A\to A\otimes A$ 

such that:

- ullet  $(A, m, \eta)$  is an associative commutative unital algebra
- ullet  $(A, \Delta, \epsilon)$  is a co-ACU co-algebra
- $(\mu \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes \Delta) = \Delta \circ m = (id \otimes \mu) \circ (\Delta \otimes id)$
- $\mu \circ \Delta = id_A$  (the special condition).

Warning: this is different from a bi-algebra or Hopf algebra!

## A construction of Frobenius algebras

#### Construction

Let X be a finite set, and put A = k[X] with basis  $\{e_x\}$ . Put

$$\eta = \sum_{x \in X} e_x, \quad \epsilon(e_x) = 1, \quad \mathit{m}(e_{x,y}) = \delta_{x,y} e_x, \quad \Delta(e_x) = e_{x,x}.$$

This is a Frobenius algebra in the category Vec.

# A construction of Frobenius algebras

#### Construction

Let X be a finite set, and put A = k[X] with basis  $\{e_x\}$ . Put

$$\eta = \sum_{x \in X} e_x, \quad \epsilon(e_x) = 1, \quad \mathit{m}(e_{x,y}) = \delta_{x,y} e_x, \quad \Delta(e_x) = e_{x,x}.$$

This is a Frobenius algebra in the category Vec.

#### **Functorial behavior**

If  $f: Y \to X$  is a function, there is an algebra homomorphism

$$f^* \colon k[X] \to k[Y], \qquad f^*(e_x) = \sum_{f(y)=x} e_y.$$

This is typically not a co-algebra homomorphism.

# Another construction of Frobenius algebras

Let G be an algebraic group.

#### Construction

If X is a finite G-set then k[X] is a Frobenius algebra in Rep(G).

# Another construction of Frobenius algebras

Let G be an algebraic group.

#### Construction

If X is a finite G-set then k[X] is a Frobenius algebra in Rep(G).

#### **Fact**

Every Frobenius algebra in Rep(G) has the form k[X].

# Another construction of Frobenius algebras

Let G be an algebraic group.

#### Construction

If X is a finite G-set then k[X] is a Frobenius algebra in Rep(G).

#### **Fact**

Every Frobenius algebra in Rep(G) has the form k[X].

#### Remark

The identity component of G acts trivially on a finite G-set.

### **Definition**

An étale algebra in a tensor category is an ACU algebra A s.t.:

#### **Definition**

An étale algebra in a tensor category is an ACU algebra A s.t.:

• A is rigid, i.e., it has a dual.

#### **Definition**

An étale algebra in a tensor category is an ACU algebra A s.t.:

- A is rigid, i.e., it has a dual.
- ullet The trace pairing  $A\otimes A 
  ightarrow {f 1}$  is perfect.

#### **Definition**

An étale algebra in a tensor category is an ACU algebra A s.t.:

- A is rigid, i.e., it has a dual.
- The trace pairing  $A \otimes A \to \mathbf{1}$  is perfect.

### **Proposition**

Étale algebras are the same as Frobenius algebras:

# Étale algebras

### **Definition**

An étale algebra in a tensor category is an ACU algebra A s.t.:

- A is rigid, i.e., it has a dual.
- The trace pairing  $A \otimes A \to \mathbf{1}$  is perfect.

### **Proposition**

Étale algebras are the same as Frobenius algebras:

• If A is a Frobenius algebra then the underlying commutative algebra is étale.

# Étale algebras

### **Definition**

An étale algebra in a tensor category is an ACU algebra A s.t.:

- A is rigid, i.e., it has a dual.
- The trace pairing  $A \otimes A \to \mathbf{1}$  is perfect.

### **Proposition**

Étale algebras are the same as Frobenius algebras:

- If A is a Frobenius algebra then the underlying commutative algebra is étale.
- If A is étale, dualizing the algebra structure wrt the trace pairing gives a co-algebra structure, which makes A into a Frobenius algebra.

# The category of étale algebras

### **Definition**

Given a tensor category  $\mathfrak{T}$ , we let  $\mathsf{Et}(\mathfrak{T})$  be the category of étale algebras in  $\mathfrak{T}$ , where morphisms are algebra homomorphisms.

# The category of étale algebras

#### **Definition**

Given a tensor category  $\mathfrak{T}$ , we let  $\mathsf{Et}(\mathfrak{T})$  be the category of étale algebras in  $\mathfrak{T}$ , where morphisms are algebra homomorphisms.

## **Example**

If  $\mathfrak{T} = \text{Rep}(G)$  then  $\text{Et}(\mathfrak{T})^{\text{op}}$  is the category of finite G-sets.

# The category of étale algebras

### **Definition**

Given a tensor category  $\mathfrak{T}$ , we let  $\mathsf{Et}(\mathfrak{T})$  be the category of étale algebras in  $\mathfrak{T}$ , where morphisms are algebra homomorphisms.

### **Example**

If  $\mathfrak{T}=\mathsf{Rep}(\mathsf{G})$  then  $\mathsf{Et}(\mathfrak{T})^\mathsf{op}$  is the category of finite  $\mathsf{G}\text{-sets}.$ 

#### Remark

In general,  $\text{Et}(\mathfrak{T})^{\text{op}}$  is a "set-like" category: it is extensive, finitely complete, and sub-objects have complements.

# §3. Deligne's category

### Construction

 $\underline{\mathsf{Rep}}(\mathfrak{S}_t)$  is the additive envelope of the following category:

### Construction

 $Rep(\mathfrak{S}_t)$  is the additive envelope of the following category:

• Objects are formal symbols  $A^{\otimes n}$  with  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

### Construction

 $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathfrak{S}_t)$  is the additive envelope of the following category:

- Objects are formal symbols  $A^{\otimes n}$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Morphisms are linear combinations of string diagrams built from  $\eta$ ,  $\epsilon$ , m, and  $\Delta$  with  $\epsilon \circ \eta = t$  and FA axioms.

### Construction

 $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathfrak{S}_t)$  is the additive envelope of the following category:

- Objects are formal symbols  $A^{\otimes n}$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Morphisms are linear combinations of string diagrams built from  $\eta$ ,  $\epsilon$ , m, and  $\Delta$  with  $\epsilon \circ \eta = t$  and FA axioms.

## Theorem (Deligne)

If  $\operatorname{char}(k) = 0$  and  $t \notin \mathbf{N}$  then the Karoubi envelope of  $\underline{\operatorname{Rep}}(\mathfrak{S}_t)$  is a semi-simple pre-Tannakian category.

### Construction

 $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathfrak{S}_t)$  is the additive envelope of the following category:

- Objects are formal symbols  $A^{\otimes n}$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Morphisms are linear combinations of string diagrams built from  $\eta$ ,  $\epsilon$ , m, and  $\Delta$  with  $\epsilon \circ \eta = t$  and FA axioms.

## Theorem (Deligne)

If  $\operatorname{char}(k) = 0$  and  $t \notin \mathbf{N}$  then the Karoubi envelope of  $\operatorname{\underline{Rep}}(\mathfrak{S}_t)$  is a semi-simple pre-Tannakian category.

#### Remark

There is also a pre-Tannakian category for char(k) = 0 and  $t \in \mathbf{N}$  by work of Deligne and Comes–Ostrik.

# Universal property of Deligne's category

The object A of  $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathfrak{S}_t)$  is an étale algebra of dimension t.

# Universal property of Deligne's category

The object A of  $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathfrak{S}_t)$  is an étale algebra of dimension t.

Let  ${\mathfrak T}$  be an arbitrary tensor category.

# Universal property of Deligne's category

The object A of  $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathfrak{S}_t)$  is an étale algebra of dimension t.

Let  ${\mathfrak T}$  be an arbitrary tensor category.

#### **Theorem**

Giving a tensor functor  $\Phi \colon \underline{\operatorname{Rep}}(\mathfrak{S}_t) \to \mathfrak{T}$  is equivalent to giving an étale algebra in  $\mathfrak{T}$  of dimension t, via  $\Phi \leftrightarrow \Phi(A)$ .

# §4. Oligomorphic tensor categories

### **Definition**

An oligomorphic group is a permutation group  $(G, \Omega)$  such that G has finitely many orbits on  $\Omega^n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

#### **Definition**

An oligomorphic group is a permutation group  $(G,\Omega)$  such that G has finitely many orbits on  $\Omega^n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

## **Examples**

#### **Definition**

An oligomorphic group is a permutation group  $(G,\Omega)$  such that G has finitely many orbits on  $\Omega^n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

### **Examples**

• The infinite symmetric group acting on  $\Omega = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ .

#### **Definition**

An oligomorphic group is a permutation group  $(G, \Omega)$  such that G has finitely many orbits on  $\Omega^n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

### **Examples**

- The infinite symmetric group acting on  $\Omega = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ .
- $\mathbf{GL}_{\infty}(\mathbf{F}_q)$  acting on  $\Omega = \mathbf{F}_q^{\infty}$ .

#### **Definition**

An oligomorphic group is a permutation group  $(G,\Omega)$  such that G has finitely many orbits on  $\Omega^n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

### **Examples**

- The infinite symmetric group acting on  $\Omega = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ .
- $\mathbf{GL}_{\infty}(\mathbf{F}_q)$  acting on  $\Omega = \mathbf{F}_q^{\infty}$ .
- The group  $Aut(\mathbf{R},<)$  acting on  $\Omega=\mathbf{R}$ .

Let  $(G,\Omega)$  be an oligomorphic group.

### **Definition**

Let S(G) be the category of finitary smooth G-sets:

Let  $(G,\Omega)$  be an oligomorphic group.

### **Definition**

Let S(G) be the category of finitary smooth G-sets:

• finitary means finitely many orbits.

Let  $(G, \Omega)$  be an oligomorphic group.

### **Definition**

Let S(G) be the category of finitary smooth G-sets:

- finitary means finitely many orbits.
- smooth means each orbit is a subquotient of some  $\Omega^n$ .

Let  $(G, \Omega)$  be an oligomorphic group.

#### **Definition**

Let S(G) be the category of finitary smooth G-sets:

- finitary means finitely many orbits.
- smooth means each orbit is a subquotient of some  $\Omega^n$ .

### Remark

The category  $\mathbf{S}(G)$  has all the same basic categorical properties of the category of finite  $\Gamma$ -sets, for a finite group  $\Gamma$ . In particular,  $\mathbf{S}(G)$  has finite products.

### Measures

# Definition (Harman-Snowden)

A measure for G is a rule  $\mu$  that assigns to each morphism  $f: Y \to X$  in  $\mathbf{S}(G)$ , with X transitive, a quantity  $\mu(f)$  in k such that certain axioms hold.

### Measures

# Definition (Harman-Snowden)

A measure for G is a rule  $\mu$  that assigns to each morphism  $f: Y \to X$  in  $\mathbf{S}(G)$ , with X transitive, a quantity  $\mu(f)$  in k such that certain axioms hold.

### Convention

 $\mu(X)$  is defined to be  $\mu(X \to \mathrm{pt})$ .

### Measures

## **Definition (Harman-Snowden)**

A measure for G is a rule  $\mu$  that assigns to each morphism  $f: Y \to X$  in  $\mathbf{S}(G)$ , with X transitive, a quantity  $\mu(f)$  in k such that certain axioms hold.

### Convention

 $\mu(X)$  is defined to be  $\mu(X \to \mathrm{pt})$ .

#### Intuition

 $\mu(f)$  is like the size of a fiber of f, and  $\mu(X)$  is like the size of X.

## Construction (Harman-Snowden)

## Construction (Harman–Snowden)

Define a tensor category  $\underline{\mathsf{Perm}}(\mathsf{G},\mu)$ :

• Objects are  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  with X finitary smooth G-set.

# Construction (Harman-Snowden)

- Objects are  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  with X finitary smooth G-set.
- Morphisms  $\mathcal{C}(X) \to \mathcal{C}(Y)$  are functions  $G \setminus (Y \times X) \to k$ .

# Construction (Harman-Snowden)

- Objects are C(X) with X finitary smooth G-set.
- Morphisms  $\mathcal{C}(X) \to \mathcal{C}(Y)$  are functions  $G \setminus (Y \times X) \to k$ .
- ullet Composition is convolution, defined using  $\mu.$

## Construction (Harman-Snowden)

- Objects are  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  with X finitary smooth G-set.
- Morphisms  $\mathcal{C}(X) \to \mathcal{C}(Y)$  are functions  $G \setminus (Y \times X) \to k$ .
- ullet Composition is convolution, defined using  $\mu.$
- $\mathcal{C}(X) \oplus \mathcal{C}(Y) = \mathcal{C}(X \coprod Y)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y) = \mathcal{C}(X \times Y)$ .

## Construction (Harman-Snowden)

Define a tensor category  $\underline{\mathsf{Perm}}(\mathsf{G},\mu)$ :

- Objects are  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  with X finitary smooth G-set.
- Morphisms  $\mathcal{C}(X) \to \mathcal{C}(Y)$  are functions  $G \setminus (Y \times X) \to k$ .
- ullet Composition is convolution, defined using  $\mu.$
- $C(X) \oplus C(Y) = C(X \coprod Y)$  and  $C(X) \otimes C(Y) = C(X \times Y)$ .

The object  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  is rigid, self-dual, of dimension  $\mu(X)$ .

#### Intuition

 $\mathcal{C}(X)$  is like a permutation representation with basis indexed by X. Morphisms can be thought of as G-invariant matrices.

# Recovering Deligne's category

Let  $(G,\Omega)$  be the infinite symmetric group, and suppose  $\mathrm{char}(k)=0$ .

# Recovering Deligne's category

Let  $(G,\Omega)$  be the infinite symmetric group, and suppose char(k) = 0.

For  $t \in k$ , there is a unique measure  $\mu_t$  such that  $\mu_t(\Omega) = t$ . The  $\mu_t$  account for all the measures for G.

# Recovering Deligne's category

Let  $(G,\Omega)$  be the infinite symmetric group, and suppose char(k)=0.

For  $t \in k$ , there is a unique measure  $\mu_t$  such that  $\mu_t(\Omega) = t$ . The  $\mu_t$  account for all the measures for G.

We have  $\underline{\mathsf{Perm}}(\mathsf{G},\mu_t)^{\mathrm{kar}} = \underline{\mathsf{Rep}}(\mathfrak{S}_t)^{\mathrm{kar}}$ .

### Recovering Deligne's category

Let  $(G, \Omega)$  be the infinite symmetric group, and suppose char(k) = 0.

For  $t \in k$ , there is a unique measure  $\mu_t$  such that  $\mu_t(\Omega) = t$ . The  $\mu_t$  account for all the measures for G.

We have  $\underline{\mathsf{Perm}}(\mathsf{G},\mu_t)^{\mathrm{kar}} = \underline{\mathsf{Rep}}(\mathfrak{S}_t)^{\mathrm{kar}}.$ 

In this way, the oligomorphic theory recovers Deligne's example.

# \_\_\_\_\_

§5. Universal properties

Fix an oligomorphic group  ${\it G}$  with measure  $\mu.$ 

Fix an oligomorphic group  ${\it G}$  with measure  $\mu.$ 

#### **Fact**

 $\mathcal{C}(X)$  is naturally an étale algebra in  $\underline{\mathsf{Perm}}(G,\mu)$ .

Fix an oligomorphic group G with measure  $\mu$ .

#### **Fact**

 $\mathcal{C}(X)$  is naturally an étale algebra in  $\underline{\mathsf{Perm}}(G,\mu)$ .

#### Consequence

There is a natural functor  $S(G) \to Et(\underline{Perm}(G, \mu))^{op}$ .

Fix an oligomorphic group G with measure  $\mu$ .

#### **Fact**

 $\mathcal{C}(X)$  is naturally an étale algebra in  $\underline{\mathsf{Perm}}(G,\mu)$ .

#### Consequence

There is a natural functor  $S(G) \to Et(\underline{Perm}(G, \mu))^{op}$ .

This functor is often an equivalence, but not always.

Suppose  $\Phi \colon \operatorname{\underline{\sf Perm}}({\mathcal G},\mu) \to {\mathfrak T}$  is a tensor functor.

Suppose  $\Phi \colon \operatorname{\underline{\sf Perm}}({\mathcal G},\mu) \to {\mathfrak T}$  is a tensor functor.

Define  $\Psi$  to be the composition

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathit{G}) \to \mathsf{Et}(\underline{\mathsf{Perm}}(\mathit{G},\mu))^{\mathsf{op}} \to \mathsf{Et}(\mathfrak{T})^{\mathsf{op}}$$

Suppose  $\Phi \colon \operatorname{\underline{\sf Perm}}({\mathcal G},\mu) \to {\mathfrak T}$  is a tensor functor.

Define  $\Psi$  to be the composition

$$\textbf{S}(\textit{G}) \rightarrow \mathsf{Et}(\underline{\mathsf{Perm}}(\textit{G},\mu))^{\mathsf{op}} \rightarrow \mathsf{Et}(\mathfrak{T})^{\mathsf{op}}$$

Observations:

ullet  $\Psi$  is additive, i.e., it commutes with finite co-products.

Suppose  $\Phi \colon \operatorname{\underline{\sf Perm}}({\mathcal G},\mu) \to {\mathfrak T}$  is a tensor functor.

Define  $\Psi$  to be the composition

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathit{G}) o \mathsf{Et}(\underline{\mathsf{Perm}}(\mathit{G},\mu))^{\mathsf{op}} o \mathsf{Et}(\mathfrak{T})^{\mathsf{op}}$$

#### Observations:

- ullet  $\Psi$  is additive, i.e., it commutes with finite co-products.
- $\bullet$   $\Psi$  is left-exact, i.e., it commutes with finite limits.

Suppose  $\Phi \colon \operatorname{\underline{\sf Perm}}({\mathcal G},\mu) \to {\mathfrak T}$  is a tensor functor.

Define  $\Psi$  to be the composition

$$\mathbf{S}(G) \to \mathsf{Et}(\underline{\mathsf{Perm}}(G,\mu))^{\mathsf{op}} \to \mathsf{Et}(\mathfrak{T})^{\mathsf{op}}$$

#### Observations:

- ullet  $\Psi$  is additive, i.e., it commutes with finite co-products.
- ullet  $\Psi$  is left-exact, i.e., it commutes with finite limits.
- $\Psi$  is compatible with  $\mu$ , e.g., the dimension of  $\Psi(X)$  is  $\mu(X)$ .

Let  ${\mathfrak T}$  be an arbitrary tensor category.

#### **Theorem**

Giving a tensor functor  $\Phi \colon \operatorname{\underline{Perm}}(G,\mu) \to \mathfrak{T}$  is equivalent to giving a functor  $\Psi \colon \mathbf{S}(G) \to \operatorname{Et}(\mathfrak{T})^{\operatorname{op}}$  that is additive, left-exact, and compatible with  $\mu$ .

Let  ${\mathfrak T}$  be an arbitrary tensor category.

#### **Theorem**

Giving a tensor functor  $\Phi \colon \operatorname{\underline{Perm}}(G,\mu) \to \mathfrak{T}$  is equivalent to giving a functor  $\Psi \colon \mathbf{S}(G) \to \operatorname{Et}(\mathfrak{T})^{\operatorname{op}}$  that is additive, left-exact, and compatible with  $\mu$ .

#### Remark

We think of  $\Phi$  as an algebraic object, but  $\Psi$  as a combinatorial object. This is why the theorem is useful.

Let  ${\mathfrak T}$  be an arbitrary tensor category.

#### **Theorem**

Giving a tensor functor  $\Phi \colon \operatorname{\underline{Perm}}(G,\mu) \to \mathfrak{T}$  is equivalent to giving a functor  $\Psi \colon \mathbf{S}(G) \to \operatorname{Et}(\mathfrak{T})^{\operatorname{op}}$  that is additive, left-exact, and compatible with  $\mu$ .

#### Remark

We think of  $\Phi$  as an algebraic object, but  $\Psi$  as a combinatorial object. This is why the theorem is useful.

#### Remark

The theorem recovers the universal property of  $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathfrak{S}_t)$ .

# §6. Delannoy categories

### The categories

Let  $\mathbb{G} = Aut(\mathbf{R}, <)$ , which acts oligomorphically on  $\mathbf{R}$ .

#### **Fact**

 $\mathbb G$  has exactly four measures  $\mu_{1},~\mu_{2},~\mu_{3}$  and  $\mu_{4}.$ 

### The categories

Let  $\mathbb{G} = Aut(\mathbf{R}, <)$ , which acts oligomorphically on  $\mathbf{R}$ .

#### **Fact**

 $\mathbb{G}$  has exactly four measures  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$ ,  $\mu_3$  and  $\mu_4$ .

#### **Definition**

The *i*th Delannoy category is  $\mathfrak{C}_i = \underline{\mathsf{Perm}}(\mathbb{G}, \mu_i)$ .

### The categories

Let  $\mathbb{G} = Aut(\mathbf{R}, <)$ , which acts oligomorphically on  $\mathbf{R}$ .

#### **Fact**

 $\mathbb{G}$  has exactly four measures  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$ ,  $\mu_3$  and  $\mu_4$ .

#### **Definition**

The *i*th Delannoy category is  $\mathfrak{C}_i = \underline{\mathsf{Perm}}(\mathbb{G}, \mu_i)$ .

#### Remark

 $\mathfrak{C}_1^{\mathrm{kar}}$  is semi-simple pre-Tannakian. It was studied in depth by Harman, Snowden, Snyder (2022), and found to have many remarkable properties. The other  $\mathfrak{C}_i$ 's have been mysterious.

#### $\mathbb{G}$ -sets

Let  $\mathbf{R}^{(n)} \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  be the set of increasing tuples. The following provides an essentially complete picture of  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{G})$ .

#### **Fact**

#### G-sets

Let  $\mathbf{R}^{(n)} \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  be the set of increasing tuples. The following provides an essentially complete picture of  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{G})$ .

#### **Fact**

• The  $R^{(n)}$  are the transitive objects in  $S(\mathbb{G})$ .

#### G-sets

Let  $\mathbf{R}^{(n)} \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  be the set of increasing tuples. The following provides an essentially complete picture of  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{G})$ .

#### **Fact**

- The  $\mathbf{R}^{(n)}$  are the transitive objects in  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{G})$ .
- Any  $\mathbb{G}$ -map  $\mathbf{R}^{(n)} o \mathbf{R}^{(m)}$  is a projection.

#### $\mathbb{G}$ -sets

Let  $\mathbf{R}^{(n)} \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  be the set of increasing tuples. The following provides an essentially complete picture of  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{G})$ .

#### **Fact**

- The  $\mathbf{R}^{(n)}$  are the transitive objects in  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{G})$ .
- Any  $\mathbb{G}$ -map  $\mathbf{R}^{(n)} o \mathbf{R}^{(m)}$  is a projection.

#### **Notation**

Write  $C_i(\mathbf{R}^{(n)})$  for  $C(\mathbf{R}^{(n)})$  in the category  $C_i$ .

### Universal property of $S(\mathbb{G})$

Let  $\mathcal S$  be an extensive category with finite limits, e.g.,  $\mathsf{Et}(\mathfrak T)^\mathsf{op}.$ 

#### **Definition**

An ordered object of S is an object X equipped with a subobject of  $X \times X$  satisfying the axioms of a total order.

### Universal property of $S(\mathbb{G})$

Let S be an extensive category with finite limits, e.g.,  $\mathsf{Et}(\mathfrak{T})^\mathsf{op}$ .

#### **Definition**

An ordered object of S is an object X equipped with a subobject of  $X \times X$  satisfying the axioms of a total order.

#### **Example**

**R** is an ordered object in  $S(\mathbb{G})$ .

### Universal property of $S(\mathbb{G})$

Let S be an extensive category with finite limits, e.g.,  $\mathsf{Et}(\mathfrak{T})^\mathsf{op}$ .

#### **Definition**

An ordered object of S is an object X equipped with a subobject of  $X \times X$  satisfying the axioms of a total order.

#### **Example**

**R** is an ordered object in  $S(\mathbb{G})$ .

#### **Theorem**

Giving an additive left-exact functor  $\Psi \colon \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathbb{S}$  is equivalent to giving an ordered object of  $\mathbb{S}$ , via  $\Psi \leftrightarrow \Psi(\mathbf{R})$ .

### Ordered étale algebras

Let  ${\mathfrak T}$  be a tensor category.

#### **Definition**

An ordered étale algebra is an ordered object in  $Et(\mathfrak{T})^{op}$ .

### Ordered étale algebras

Let  ${\mathfrak T}$  be a tensor category.

#### **Definition**

An ordered étale algebra is an ordered object in  $Et(\mathfrak{T})^{op}$ .

#### (Non-)example

In Rep(G) (G = alg. gp.), an ordered étale algebra A corresponds to a finite G-set X equipped with a G-invariant total order. The action of G on such an X is trivial  $\Longrightarrow A \cong \mathbf{1}^{\oplus n}$ .

### Ordered étale algebras

Let  ${\mathfrak T}$  be a tensor category.

#### **Definition**

An ordered étale algebra is an ordered object in  $Et(\mathfrak{T})^{op}$ .

#### (Non-)example

In Rep(G) (G = alg. gp.), an ordered étale algebra A corresponds to a finite G-set X equipped with a G-invariant total order. The action of G on such an X is trivial  $\Longrightarrow A \cong \mathbf{1}^{\oplus n}$ .

#### Simplest example

 $\mathcal{C}_i(\mathbf{R})$  is an ordered étale algebra in  $\mathcal{C}_i$ .

Let A be an ordered étale algebra. There is an étale algebra  $A^{(n)}$  of "ordered n-tuples," and n (co-)projection maps  $A \to A^{(n)}$ .

Let A be an ordered étale algebra. There is an étale algebra  $A^{(n)}$  of "ordered n-tuples," and n (co-)projection maps  $A \to A^{(n)}$ .

#### **Definition**

A is Delannic of type i, for  $1 \le i \le 4$ , if it satisfies the following three numeric conditions:

Let A be an ordered étale algebra. There is an étale algebra  $A^{(n)}$  of "ordered n-tuples," and n (co-)projection maps  $A \to A^{(n)}$ .

#### **Definition**

A is Delannic of type i, for  $1 \le i \le 4$ , if it satisfies the following three numeric conditions:

• dim  $A = \mu_i(\mathbf{R})$ .

Let A be an ordered étale algebra. There is an étale algebra  $A^{(n)}$  of "ordered n-tuples," and n (co-)projection maps  $A \to A^{(n)}$ .

#### **Definition**

A is Delannic of type i, for  $1 \le i \le 4$ , if it satisfies the following three numeric conditions:

- dim  $A = \mu_i(\mathbf{R})$ .
- $\dim_A A^{(2)} = \mu_i(\mathbf{R}^{(2)} \to \mathbf{R})$ , for both choices of maps.

Let A be an ordered étale algebra. There is an étale algebra  $A^{(n)}$  of "ordered n-tuples," and n (co-)projection maps  $A \to A^{(n)}$ .

#### **Definition**

A is Delannic of type i, for  $1 \le i \le 4$ , if it satisfies the following three numeric conditions:

- dim  $A = \mu_i(\mathbf{R})$ .
- $\dim_A A^{(2)} = \mu_i(\mathbf{R}^{(2)} \to \mathbf{R})$ , for both choices of maps.

Here  $\dim_A$  is dimension in the tensor category of A-modules.

Let A be an ordered étale algebra. There is an étale algebra  $A^{(n)}$  of "ordered n-tuples," and n (co-)projection maps  $A \to A^{(n)}$ .

#### **Definition**

A is Delannic of type i, for  $1 \le i \le 4$ , if it satisfies the following three numeric conditions:

- dim  $A = \mu_i(\mathbf{R})$ .
- $\dim_A A^{(2)} = \mu_i(\mathbf{R}^{(2)} \to \mathbf{R})$ , for both choices of maps.

Here  $\dim_A$  is dimension in the tensor category of A-modules.

#### **Example**

 $C_i(\mathbf{R})$  is Delannic of type i.

Let  ${\mathfrak T}$  be an arbitrary tensor category.

#### **Theorem**

Giving a tensor functor  $\Phi \colon \mathfrak{C}_i \to \mathfrak{T}$  is equivalent to giving a Delannic algebra of type i in  $\mathfrak{T}$ , via  $\Phi \leftrightarrow \Phi(\mathfrak{C}_i(\mathbf{R}))$ .

Let  ${\mathfrak T}$  be an arbitrary tensor category.

#### **Theorem**

Giving a tensor functor  $\Phi \colon \mathfrak{C}_i \to \mathfrak{T}$  is equivalent to giving a Delannic algebra of type i in  $\mathfrak{T}$ , via  $\Phi \leftrightarrow \Phi(\mathfrak{C}_i(\mathbf{R}))$ .

#### **Proof**

By our general theorem,  $\Phi$  corresponds to  $\Psi \colon \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathsf{Et}(\mathfrak{T})^\mathsf{op}$  that is additive, left-exact, and compatible with  $\mu_i$ .

# The main theorem

Let  ${\mathfrak T}$  be an arbitrary tensor category.

#### **Theorem**

Giving a tensor functor  $\Phi \colon \mathfrak{C}_i \to \mathfrak{T}$  is equivalent to giving a Delannic algebra of type i in  $\mathfrak{T}$ , via  $\Phi \leftrightarrow \Phi(\mathfrak{C}_i(\mathbf{R}))$ .

#### **Proof**

By our general theorem,  $\Phi$  corresponds to  $\Psi \colon \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathsf{Et}(\mathfrak{T})^\mathsf{op}$  that is additive, left-exact, and compatible with  $\mu_i$ .

By the universal property of  $S(\mathbb{G})$ , giving  $\Psi$  with the first two conditions is equivalent to giving an ordered étale algebra in  $\mathfrak{T}$ .

### The main theorem

Let  ${\mathfrak T}$  be an arbitrary tensor category.

#### **Theorem**

Giving a tensor functor  $\Phi \colon \mathfrak{C}_i \to \mathfrak{T}$  is equivalent to giving a Delannic algebra of type i in  $\mathfrak{T}$ , via  $\Phi \leftrightarrow \Phi(\mathfrak{C}_i(\mathbf{R}))$ .

#### **Proof**

By our general theorem,  $\Phi$  corresponds to  $\Psi \colon \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathsf{Et}(\mathfrak{T})^\mathsf{op}$  that is additive, left-exact, and compatible with  $\mu_i$ .

By the universal property of  $S(\mathbb{G})$ , giving  $\Psi$  with the first two conditions is equivalent to giving an ordered étale algebra in  $\mathfrak{T}$ .

Compatibility with  $\mu_i$  is the Delannic condition; this is non-trivial, since the former is an infinite list of numeric conditions.

There has been other work on universal properties for  $\mathfrak{C}_1$ :

There has been other work on universal properties for  $\mathfrak{C}_1$ :

• S. Kriz (2023) gave a universal property based on the simple decomposition of  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R})$ , and not using the order structure.

There has been other work on universal properties for  $\mathfrak{C}_1$ :

- S. Kriz (2023) gave a universal property based on the simple decomposition of  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R})$ , and not using the order structure.
- Khovanov and Snyder (see next talk!) give a variant of Kriz's universal property (among other things).

There has been other work on universal properties for  $\mathfrak{C}_1$ :

- S. Kriz (2023) gave a universal property based on the simple decomposition of  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R})$ , and not using the order structure.
- Khovanov and Snyder (see next talk!) give a variant of Kriz's universal property (among other things).

Not clear if there is a Kriz-style universal property for the other  $\mathfrak{C}_i$ .

If A and B are OEA then so is  $A \oplus B$  (lexicographic sum).

If A and B are OEA then so is  $A \oplus B$  (lexicographic sum).

•  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathbf{1}$  is Delannic of type 2  $\Longrightarrow$   $\mathfrak{C}_2 \to \mathfrak{C}_1$ .

If A and B are OEA then so is  $A \oplus B$  (lexicographic sum).

- $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathbf{1}$  is Delannic of type 2  $\Longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_2 \to \mathcal{C}_1$ .
- $1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R})$  is Delannic of type  $3 \implies \mathfrak{C}_3 \to \mathfrak{C}_1$ .

If A and B are OEA then so is  $A \oplus B$  (lexicographic sum).

- $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathbf{1}$  is Delannic of type 2  $\Longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_2 \to \mathcal{C}_1$ .
- $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R})$  is Delannic of type  $3 \implies \mathfrak{C}_3 \to \mathfrak{C}_1$ .
- $1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_1(\mathsf{R}) \oplus 1$  is Delannic of type 4  $\implies \mathcal{C}_4 \to \mathcal{C}_1$ .

If A and B are OEA then so is  $A \oplus B$  (lexicographic sum).

- $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathbf{1}$  is Delannic of type 2  $\Longrightarrow$   $\mathfrak{C}_2 \to \mathfrak{C}_1$ .
- $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R})$  is Delannic of type  $3 \implies \mathfrak{C}_3 \to \mathfrak{C}_1$ .
- $1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_1(R) \oplus 1$  is Delannic of type  $4 \implies \mathcal{C}_4 \to \mathcal{C}_1$ .

### **Significance**

Each  $\mathfrak{C}_i$  admits a map to a pre-Tannakian category.

If A and B are OEA then so are  $A \otimes B$  and  $A^{(n)}$ .

•  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R}^{(2)})$  is Delannic of type 4  $\implies \mathcal{C}_4 \to \mathcal{C}_1$ .

- $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R}^{(2)})$  is Delannic of type 4  $\implies \mathfrak{C}_4 \to \mathfrak{C}_1$ .
- $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R}^{(2)})$  is Delannic of type 2  $\implies \mathcal{C}_2 \to \mathcal{C}_1$ .

- $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R}^{(2)})$  is Delannic of type 4  $\implies \mathfrak{C}_4 \to \mathfrak{C}_1$ .
- $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R}^{(2)})$  is Delannic of type 2  $\implies \mathcal{C}_2 \to \mathcal{C}_1$ .
- More generally,  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R}^{(n)})$  is Delannic of type 1 if n is odd, and type 4 if n is even  $\implies$  many functors  $\mathfrak{C}_1 \to \mathfrak{C}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{C}_4 \to \mathfrak{C}_1$ .

- $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R}^{(2)})$  is Delannic of type 4  $\implies \mathfrak{C}_4 \to \mathfrak{C}_1$ .
- $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R}^{(2)})$  is Delannic of type 2  $\implies \mathcal{C}_2 \to \mathcal{C}_1$ .
- More generally,  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{R}^{(n)})$  is Delannic of type 1 if n is odd, and type 4 if n is even  $\implies$  many functors  $\mathfrak{C}_1 \to \mathfrak{C}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{C}_4 \to \mathfrak{C}_1$ .
- Can use  $\oplus$ ,  $\otimes$ , and  $(-)^{(n)}$  to obtain many more functors.

### Local abelian envelopes

Let  $\mathfrak{T}$  be a tensor category with finite Hom's and End(1) = k.

### Theorem (Coulembier)

There exists  $\{\Phi_i \colon \mathfrak{T} \to \mathfrak{U}_i\}_{i \in I}$  where each  $\mathfrak{U}_i$  is pre-Tannakian such that any faithful  $\Phi \colon \mathfrak{T} \to \mathfrak{U}$  (pre-Tannakian) factors uniquely as  $\Psi \circ \Phi_i$  with  $\Psi \colon \mathfrak{U}_i \to \mathfrak{U}$  exact and faithful.

### Local abelian envelopes

Let  $\mathfrak{T}$  be a tensor category with finite Hom's and End(1) = k.

### Theorem (Coulembier)

There exists  $\{\Phi_i \colon \mathfrak{T} \to \mathfrak{U}_i\}_{i \in I}$  where each  $\mathfrak{U}_i$  is pre-Tannakian such that any faithful  $\Phi \colon \mathfrak{T} \to \mathfrak{U}$  (pre-Tannakian) factors uniquely as  $\Psi \circ \Phi_i$  with  $\Psi \colon \mathfrak{U}_i \to \mathfrak{U}$  exact and faithful.

#### **Definition**

The  $\mathfrak{U}_i$  are the local abelian envelopes of  $\mathfrak{T}$ .

### Local abelian envelopes

Let  $\mathfrak T$  be a tensor category with finite Hom's and  $\operatorname{End}(\mathbf 1)=k$ .

### Theorem (Coulembier)

There exists  $\{\Phi_i \colon \mathfrak{T} \to \mathfrak{U}_i\}_{i \in I}$  where each  $\mathfrak{U}_i$  is pre-Tannakian such that any faithful  $\Phi \colon \mathfrak{T} \to \mathfrak{U}$  (pre-Tannakian) factors uniquely as  $\Psi \circ \Phi_i$  with  $\Psi \colon \mathfrak{U}_i \to \mathfrak{U}$  exact and faithful.

#### **Definition**

The  $\mathfrak{U}_i$  are the local abelian envelopes of  $\mathfrak{T}$ .

#### **Definition**

If #I = 1 the unique  $\mathfrak{U}_i$  is **the** abelian envelope of  $\mathfrak{T}$ .

# Local envelopes for Delannoy

#### **Theorem**

 $\mathfrak{C}_2$  has at least two local abelian envelopes.

# Local envelopes for Delannoy

#### **Theorem**

 $\mathfrak{C}_2$  has at least two local abelian envelopes.

#### **Proof**

The functors  $\mathfrak{C}_2 \to \mathfrak{C}_1$  provided by the Delannic algebras

$$\mathcal{C}_1(\mathsf{R}) \oplus \mathbf{1}$$
 and  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathsf{R}) \oplus \mathcal{C}_1(\mathsf{R}^{(2)})$ 

belong to different local abelian envelopes.

# Local envelopes for Delannoy

#### **Theorem**

 $\mathfrak{C}_2$  has at least two local abelian envelopes.

#### **Proof**

The functors  $\mathfrak{C}_2 \to \mathfrak{C}_1$  provided by the Delannic algebras

$$\mathcal{C}_1(\mathsf{R}) \oplus \mathbf{1}$$
 and  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathsf{R}) \oplus \mathcal{C}_1(\mathsf{R}^{(2)})$ 

belong to different local abelian envelopes.

#### Remark

Forthcoming work of Coulembier and Snowden:  $\mathfrak{C}_2$  has exactly two local abelian envelopes. One is equivalent to  $\mathfrak{C}_1^{\mathrm{kar}}$ , the other is a new pre-Tannakian category.