Operator Overloading, Templates.

- H: A Summary of Operator Overloading, then read S 18 and S 19. Skip 19.2.5, 19.2.6. Skim 19.3.
- S 23 Templates. Skim 23.5.2, skip 23.5.2.1, 23.5.2.2, 23.7.1

▼ Operator Overloading -

- ▼ In C++, every operator in the language has a function-like name:
 - operator+, operator*, operator<<
- ▼ You can assign a different meaning to the operator for a user-defined type by defining the function with the appropriate arguments.
 - E.g. CoinMoney m1, m2;
 - m1 + m2
 - CoinMoney operator+ (CoinMoney m1, CoinMoney m2) --- I.h.s., r.h.s
- You are not allowed to overload the operators for built-in types
 - Can't change what it means to add two numbers!
 - Too much mischief!
- Usual custom make operator functions member functions when possible
 - First parameter has to be an object of the class type
 - ▼ If you define this function as a member function, the first argument is implicit
 - CoinMoney operator+ (CoinMoney m2) --- r.h.s. only
 - I.h.s. argument must be this type of object.
 - so x + m1 can't be a member function, m1 + x can be.
- ▼ If LHS object is not of the class type, the operator function can't be a member function.
 - Must define as a non-member function.
 - non-member functions do not have access to private data
 - ▼ How do you access the member variable values?
 - normally, use reader/writer access functions
 - sometimes having to use public accessors to get at private data is inconvenient, or not right
 - e.g. maybe this is the only place you need to why clutter things?
 - or data does need to be completely private and should NOT have any reader/writter functions
 - but in this particular case you need to be able to get to it from outside the class

Friend Functions

- in a class declaration, you can declare a function to be a "friend" of a class grant access to private
- friend <function prototype> anywhere in the class declaration

Friend classes

- This class declares another class as a friend
- Its member functions have access to the private members of the class
- friend class <classname> anywhere in the class declaration

Overloading the output operator

- Extremely handy. Output your own objects however you want:
 - e.g. cout << m1 << endl
- How to do it:
 - ostream& operator<< (ostream& os, CoinMoney m)</p>
 - ▼ {
 - ▼ os << m.nickels << " nickels, " << m.dimes << " dimes, "</p>
 - << m.quarters << " quarters, totaling \$" << m.value();
 - return os;
 - }

Four points!

- Can't be a member function! Left-hand parameter isn't the right type!
- first parameter must be declared as REFERENCE to OSTREAM
- returned type must be declared as REFERENCE to OSTREAM
- function MUST return its ostream parameter!

Digress a bit.

- cout is an object from the class ostream, initialized at program start, to output to the console.
- ostream class defined in library <iostream.h>, <iostream>
- operator<< has been overloaded for all of the built in types
- basic form of << overload:
- ostream& operator<< (ostream& os, type x) {

- code for outputting characters
- return os;
- }
- accepts a reference to an ostream object, and returns the reference, for two reasons
 - cascaded I/O
 - cout << x << y; ==> ((cout << x) << y)
 - each << operates on an ostream lhs, other object rhs, returns the ostream, so next can work on
 it.
 - reference because you don't want to copy the ostream object lots of internal state information would be lost -
 - "pass through" reference argument. Same object returnd (alias for it) as passed in.

Overloading the input operator

- In handout
- ▼ Can overload the input operator the same way, but less common
 - have to use reference parameter for the object
 - istream& operator>> (istream& is, CoinMoney& m);
 - cout << "Enter values for x, nickels, dimes, quarters, and i" << endl;
 - cin >> x >> m1 >> i;

Basic Templates

▼ Intro

- ▼ C++ is a strongly typed language there is strict set of rules on what types that variables can have, and when one type can be used as another type.
 - ▼ e.g. conversion rules:
 - my int = my double;
 - my_int = sqrt (int_var);
 - Thing * = pointer_to_gizmo; // illegal
- ▼ C++ is also statically typed types of variables are known and fixed at compile time.
 - Enables compiler to generate very fast and efficient code
 - Most programming languages work this way.

Compare to LISP

15

- Lisp is a language that is dynamically typed; every "variable" can have any kind of value at all numbers, strings, lists, even code (since code is a list of expressions).
- ▼ Every value is actually an object that carries its type with it so at run time, every operator or function knows what to do with it; it it turns out to be the wrong type, you get a run-time error
 - Example playing around with variable values in lisp

```
(defun example()
(let (x y z)
(setq x 5)
(print x)
(setq y 10)
(print y)
(setq z (+ x y))
(print z)
;;(setq z (append x y)) ;; comment out
(setq x (list 'a 'b))
(print x)
(setq y (list 15 "foo"))
(print y)
(setq z (append x y))
(print z)
(setq z (+ x y))
))
;output:
5
10
```

```
> Error: value 5 is not of the expected type LIST.
> While executing: CCL::APPEND-2
> Type Command-. to abort.

commenting out append of numbers
5
10
15
(A B)
(15 "foo")
(A B 15 "foo")
> Error: value (A B) is not of the expected type NUMBER.
> While executing: CCL::+-2
> Type Command-. to abort.

Can't add lists, etc
```

But this run-time checking can be very slow. Statically typed is faster

■ But strong and static typing has a serious pitfall - impossible to use the same code to work on different types

▼ Example of how clumsy this can be:

```
    void swap (int& a, int& b)
{
        int temp = a;
        a = b;
        b = temp;
}
```

▼ Will this work for doubles?

- swap(double_var1, double_var2);
- A conversion from double to int is allowed (though it loses information)
- But the fuction can't be called, because a reference to an int can't be set to refer to a double same concept as disallowed pointer conversions.

■ Will this work for C strings?

No, because pointers will not be converted to integers

What about for string objects?

- No compiler will reject because an string can't be converted into an integer
- Have to write a different version of swap for every type what a pain!
- Code will be fast and efficient, but are we doomed to writing it out over and over again?

▼ Generic Programming and Templates

 Concept of generic programming - writing code that applies to all kinds of types, and letting compiler modify it as needed for the type we want.

- ▼ in C++, this is done with TEMPLATES
 - you write the code using a template, and specifying a TYPE PARAMETER (one or more)
 - The compiler generates the appropriate code for the TYPE PARAMETER when it is needed
- Concept of the template:
 - A recipe for the compiler to follow to generate some code for you.
 - Both function templates and class templates

▼ C++ templates can be extremely sophisticated

- Std. Lib. uses them very heavily almost all templates, in fact
- Very fancy template programming is now the cutting-edge concept ...
- But simple use of templates is easy and worth knowing
 - For your own code
 - To help understand how to use Std. Library code

▼ Function templates

- **▼** Function template approach:
 - You define the function template
 - When your code uses the function, the compiler generates the suitable definition of the function -INSTANTIATING the template
 - ▼ Compiler deduces the relevant types from the type used in the arguments of the call
 - A key feature of function templates very useful in a variety of ways
 - Class templates have to have the types explicitly specified!
- function templates can be useful StdLib is full of them, for handy & often used things later.
- **▼** Template example
 - ▼ Swapem as a template: T (can be anything) is TYPE PARAMETER.

```
template <typename T>
void swapem (T& a, T& b)
{
    T temp = a;
    a = b;
    b = temp;
}
```

- ▼ these days, new "typename" keyword often used instead of "class" in the template declaration header
 - the template parameter is the name of a type always and might not be a class type!
- ▼ compiler must see the template definition first before your use of it in code
 - defined at top level of a file
 - often put in a header file
- ▼ If you write:
 - swapem(my_int1, my_int2);
 - compiler will generate the code:

```
void swapem (int&a int& b) {
int temp = a;
a = b;
b = temp;
```

- If you write:
 - swapem(str1, str2); // str1 and str2 are string

compiler will generate the code:

```
void swap (string& a string& b)
{
    string temp = a;
    a = b;
    b = temp;
}
```

▼ Advantage:

- ▼ You get to have the benefits of strong static typing
 - Compiler error checks and warnings
 - Fast run speed
- But don't have to write repetitious code.

Additional detail about function templates:

- ▼ Can have more than one type parameter:
 - template <class T1, class T2> void print_both(T1 a, T2 b) { cout << a << b << endl;}
 - if you write print_both(my_char, my_double);
 - compiler will create and call:
 - void print_both(char a, double b);
- ▼ After compiler instantiates the template, subject to normal rules of compilation and execution: code must be correct and make sense;
 - For example
 - suppose class Thing does not have a public assignment operator
 - swapem(thing1, thing2) would fail to compile as a result because the assignment statements would be illegal
 - code example:

```
template <class T>
void swapem(T &a, T &b){
   T temp = a;
   a = b;
   b = temp;
}

class Thing {
public:
   Thing(int i_, char c_) : i(i_), c(c_) {}
   int i;
   char c;
   friend ostream& operator<< (ostream&, const Thing&);
private:</pre>
```

```
Thing& operator= (const Thing& rhs);
};

ostream& operator<< (ostream& oss, const Thing& t)
{
    oss << '[' << t.i << ", " << t.c << ']';
    return oss;
}

int main(){
    Thing thing1(1,'A'), thing2(2, 'B');
    cout << "thing1: " << thing1 << ", thing2: " << thing2 << endl;
    swap(thing1, thing2);
    cout << "thing1: " << thing1 << ", thing2: " << thing2 << endl;
    return 0;
}</pre>
```

- main.cpp:19: error: 'Thing& Thing::operator=(const Thing&)' is private
- some template error messages can be confusing, though lots of room for improvement in current compilers!
- g++ is actually among the better ones parse it apart patiently it tells you everything
- ▼ Other exaple what does the instantiated code actually do?
 - char s1[20] = "Hello";
 - char s2[20= " Goodbye";
 - swapem (s1, s2); //?? allowed?
 - char * p1 = s1;
 - char * p2 = s2;
 - ▼ swapem (p1, p2); ???
 - this swaps the pointers, but not the strings!
 - how would you swap the contents of the two strings?
 - swapem(char * s1, char * s2); ???

What rules does the compiler follow to instantiate vs. when to use other overloaded functions:

- ▼ First, compiler looks for exact type match with non-template function
 - e.g. swapem(char * s1, char * s2);
- Second, a directly applicable template
- ▼ Third, do ordinary argument conversions on a non-template function
 - e.g. print_both(int, int)

A simple variadic template example

- A function template that will call another function based on any number of arguments
- Basis for some very useful templates, like std::make_shared

```
• // a function template that calls the relevant function
  // named "f" that accepts the supplied arguments as a parameter pack
  template<typename... Args>
  void callit(Args... args)
      cout << "\nin callit with parameter pack of size " << sizeof...</pre>
  (args) << endl;
      f(args...); // the version of f that matches the parameter pack
  // a set of overloaded functions
  void f(int i)
      cout << "f(int) called with " << i << endl;</pre>
  void f(int i, int j)
      cout << "f(int, int) called with " << i << ' ' << j << endl;</pre>
  }
  void f(int i, double d)
      cout << "f(int, double) called with " << i << ' ' << d << endl;</pre>
  }
  void f(int i, const string& s)
      cout << "f(int, string) called with " << i << ' ' << s << endl;</pre>
  }
  void f(int i, int j, double d, const string& s)
      cout << "f(int, int, double, string) called with "</pre>
          << i << ' ' << i << ' ' << d << ' ' << s << endl;
  }
  int main()
      callit(42);
      callit(1, 2);
      callit(1, 2.2); // int double is preferred overload
      callit(1, string("Hello"));
      callit(1, "Hello"); // string literal converts to string variable
      callit(1, 2, 3.14, "Goodbye");
  // callit(1, 2, 3); // compile fails because there is no matching
  function
```

```
callit(string("Hello")); // compile fails because there is no
matching function
/* output:
in callit with parameter pack of size 1
f(int) called with 42
in callit with parameter pack of size 2
f(int, int) called with 1 2
in callit with parameter pack of size 2
f(int, double) called with 1 2.2
in callit with parameter pack of size 2
f(int, string) called with 1 Hello
in callit with parameter pack of size 2
f(int, string) called with 1 Hello
in callit with parameter pack of size 4
f(int, int, double, string) called with 1 2 3.14 Goodbye
*/
```

•

▼ Common example of variadic templates

/* Demonstrate a simple use of variadic templates to implement
 a simple function that outputs all of its arguments which can be
 any number and type. Also shown is a simple wrapper that calls the
 same function given any number and type of arguments.
 */

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
// an no-parameter "last" version of print in the "recursive"
instantiation
void print()
{
    cout << endl;</pre>
}
// a parameter-taking "last" version which prints a '$' before its output
// to show when it gets called.
// if present, this one is called in preference to the no-parameter
version
// so comment it out to see the no-parameter version at work.
template <typename T>
void print(const T& arg)
{
    cout << '$' << arg << endl;</pre>
}
// the "recursive" version of print
// it prints the size of the args list between < and > as part of the
output
template <typename T, typename... Ts>
void print(const T& firstArg, const Ts&... args)
{
 // cout << '<' << sizeof...(args) << '>' << firstArg;
    cout << firstArg << ' ';</pre>
    print(args...);
}
// a demonstration of a wrapper for a variadic template
template<typename... Args>
void zap(Args... args)
    print(args...);
}
int main()
    print(7.5, "hello", 42);
```

```
zap("hello", 42, 7.5, "zap");
}
/* output with no-parameter version of "last" print:
7.5 hello 42
hello 42 7.5 zap
*/
/* output with no-parameter version of "last" print,
with the sizeof... output present in the "recursive" version
notice how the sizeof... is zero at the end
<2>7.5<1>hello<0>42
<3>hello<2>42<1>7.5<0>zap
*/
/* output with parameter version of "last" print,
with the sizeof... output present in the "recursive" version
showing '$' followed by last element:
<3>7.5<2>hello<1>42$
<4>hello<3>42<2>7.5<1>zap$
*/
```

▼ Class templates

▼ A class template is a class definition in which member variables have parameterized types

- e.g. Ordered_list of Player *, String
- e.g. List of doubles, Strings, Ordered_lists, etc.

▼ Class templates are extremely useful for container classes

- Gives generic but type-safe containers
- Java has a quasi-template concept as a result but not statically typed.

▼ How to create a class template:

- Build a class that has oridinary member variable data types
- Make sure it works right.
- Change the relevant data types to template type parameters.
- Instantiate by giving the types
- There you go!

micro example of class template:

```
▼ start with
```

```
    class Thing {
        int x;
        double y;
        void defrangulate() {/* incredibly complex code */}
        };
```

After fully debugging it, change to

```
    template <typename T1, typename T2>
    class Thing {
        T1 x;
        T2 y;
        void defrangulate() {/* incredibly complex code */}
        };
```

- use by:
 - ▼ Thing<int, double> thing1;
 - compiler generates:
 - class Thing { int x; double y;

```
void defrangulate() {/* incredibly complex code */}
};
```

- ▼ Thing<String, Item> thing2;
 - compiler generates:

```
    class Thing {
        String x;
        Item y;
        void defrangulate() {/* incredibly complex code */}
        }:
```

▼ The name of a template class:

- classname<typeparameter>
- classname<sometype> when instantiated
- e.g. Ordered_list was originally a non-template class that was a smart array of ints
- now, a template class Ordered_list instantiated with ints is named:
- Ordered_list<int>
- must use this name everywhere we would have used the plain name before.

▼ Defining class template member functions

- ▼ Every member function of a class template is a function template!
 - ▼ Even for ordinary classes, you can have member functions that are template functions!
 - Occasionally *very* handy!
- Member functions defined inside the class declaration no problem, same as non-template classes
- ▼ Member functions defined outside the class declaration -
 - Class name becomes the template class name in template form:
- **▼** Simple example:
 - definition inside

```
template <typename T> class Thing {
  void foo() {
     blah;
     blah;
     }
};
```

definition outside:

```
template <typename T> class Thing {
  void foo();
};

void Thing<T>::foo() {
    blah;
    blah;
}
```

▼ How about class templates that use other class templates : no problem:

```
    template <typename T>
        class Thing {
            T data_var;
            list<T> data_list
        };
```

- ▼ How about default parameters for class member functions that are templated types? Can do:
 - template <typename T>
 class Thing {
 Thing (SomeType initial_value = Gizmo<T>) // as long as SomeType can be initialized with a Gizmo
 };
- ▼ How about member functions that have an additional template type parameter? Can do, just a nested sort of template declaration:
 - ▼ Looks odd, but it is correct
 - // define inside the class declaration: template <typename T> class Thing { template <typename OT> void foo(OT ot) { blah: blah; } **}**; // define outside the class declaration: template <typename T> class Thing { template <typename OT> void foo(OT ot); **}**; template <typename T> template <typename OT> void Thing<DT>::foo(OT ot) { blah; blah; }
- ▼ Template Magic Trick #1 Using a function template to infer types in creating a class template
 - Suppose we have

```
template <typename T1, typename T2>
class Thing {
  public:
        Thing (T1 x_, T2 y_) : x(x_), y(y_) {}
  private:
        T1 x;
        T2 y;
};
```

- We want to instantiate it as an unnamed object with int, double and initialize it, say to give it to another function. Have to write:
 - foo(Thing<int, double> (42, 3.14));
- Writing out the class instantiation parameters can be inconvenient, but can't be avoided with class template we have to specify the types. However, suppose we write the following function template:

```
template <typename T1, typename T2>
Thing<T1, T2> make_Thing(T1 t1, T2 t2)
{
return Thing<T1, T2> t(t1, t2);
}
```

- Now we can create and initialize our template class object and let the compiler deduce what T1 and T2 are from the function arguments:
 - foo(make_Thing(42, 3.14));
- Common pattern in the Standard Library: a function template that uses type deduction of parameters to instantiate and return a class template object many facilities come in pairs of templates: the instantiating function and the class object.
- **▼** *e.g.*
 - std::make_pair<int_var, my_string> creates and returns std::pair<int, std::string> initialized with int_var and my_string.

What about static member variables?

Yes, you can have them, but ...

```
template <typename T>
class Thing {
    T x;
    static int counter;
    void defrangulate() {/* incredibly complex code */}
    };
template<typename T>
    int Thing<T>::counter = 0; // initialize it
```

• There is a different static int counter for each instantiation of T! - Shared with the same T Things, but not shared between different T Things.

▼ Important issues about Class templates

▼ Major Practical Issue: How the compiler processes templates

- Compiler must see the complete template definition for every translation unit that makes use of the template.
- Standard practice: put the complete template definition in a header file.
 - Both classes and member functions of those classes
 - Compiler/linker work together to avoid/handle duplicated definitions with templates
 - E.g. to use Ordered_list<> template, #include Ordered_list.h
- ▼ Potentially very awkward header files can get very long.
 - Standard Library iostream is actually a monster set of templates almost all of the I/O library is actually being read in, in near source form
 - Why makes it easy for the same code to be used for both normal and wide characters!
 - Not of a lot of use to us, though!
 - ▼ It is possible to separate code into .h and .cpp files, but is not done very often, and is not as flexible see Stroustrup p.696 ff
 - for example, put declaration in .h, function definitions in .cpp followed by explicit instantiations, compile the .cpp along with all other .cpp.
 - I've done this: Is only a good solution when you know the possible instantiations in advance:

•

- ▼ Future compilers may make it better "export" keyword was supposed to help
 - But actually, export is not as good an idea as everybody was expecting!
- ▼ Basic distinction: point of instantiation versus when instantiated.
 - The point of instantiation is where your code requires an template to be instantiated.
 - However, compiler processes all of the code in the translation unit, then instantiates the templates, then
 compiles those.
 - It usually reports errors at the point of instantiation, but it is happening after the non-template code has been compiled.
 - Allows for use of incomplete types at the point of instantiation if they become complete types later in the translation unit.

Typedef and type aliases with templates

- using mytype = existing_type;
- ▼ usually equivalent to a typedef, but more flexible with templates:
 - tempplate<typename T> using Vector = std::vector<T>;
 - /* template <typename T> typedef Ordered_list<T> myOL; // error typedef can't be a template

```
template <typename T>
  using myOL = Ordered_list<T>;

template <typename T>
  myOL<T> foo(myOL<T> x)
  {return x;};
```

Dependent types - occasional issue

- ▼ Suppose you are writing a template with T as the type parameter.
 - template <typename T>
- ▼ and somewhere in the middle of it you refer to "foo" that is in the type given by T
 - T::foo
- the type of foo depends on T it is a dependent type.
- What is foo? Compiler can't tell just from T::foo because it doesn't know what T is yet.
- On certain occasions, the compiler will complain because of the ambiguity. Usually foo should be the name of a type embedded in T (like a nested class or a typedef). Compilers used to just assume it, bu it could be something else like a static variable or a member function.
- ▼ If the compiler is confused, and foo is the name of a type, you need to tell the compiler with the typename keyword:
 - typename T::foo
 - "foo" is the name of a type declared within the scope of T

▼ Library implementers: Preventing code bloat for template classes containing pointers

- Code bloat: every template instantiation is a complete copy of the code, differing only in the type declarations.
- E.g. Linked_list<Thing>, LInked_list<int>, Linked_list<char*> 3 "copies" of the same code
- Can't do anything about this, but there is a special case for pointer types:
- E.g. Linked_list<Thing*>, LInked_list<int*>, Linked_list<char*>
- Instead of 3 copies differing only by pointer type, implement in terms of void*, with casts to/form the actual type
- ▼ How its done (sketch many details and members left out) provide these three templates in this order to the compiler
 - ▼ First, provide the complete base template the normal template

```
template<typename T>
class Linked_list {
  void insert(const T& datum);

class Iterator {
```

```
T& Iterator::operator* ()
          {return node->datum;}
     };

private:
     class Node {
          T datum;
     };
};
```

- Then specialize the whole template for void* compiler picks this for Linked_list<void*> instead of the base template
- template<>
 class Linked_list<void*> {

 void insert(void* datum);

 class Iterator {
 void*& operator* ()
 {return node->datum;}
 };

 private:
 class Node {
 void* datum
 };
 };
- Then partially specialize for prointer types, and implement in terms of the void* instantiation. with casts to/from the T* type and void*

- Note: If member functions are inline, the "delegation" of calls to vplist takes no run-time.
- Advantages:
 - All Linked_lists of pointer type share the same run-time code (the Linked_list<void*>).
 - Less code bloat if you have a lot of containers of different pointer types.
- Disadvantages:

- Lots of code near-duplication (more or less whole template for void* specialization)
- the casting to/from void* can get very complex when taking into account: const interators, const containers, and that T might be a const type (e.g. T* is const char *).
- Definitely a job for professional library writers!!!