

© 1999 Springer-Verlag New York Inc.

Intraspecific Genetic Diversity in the Marine Shrimp Penaeus vannamei: Multiple Polymorphic Elongation Factor-1 α Loci Revealed by Intron Sequencing

Scott C. France,^{1,*} Nicholas Tachino,² Thomas F. Duda, Jr.,¹ Robert A. Shleser,³ and Stephen R. Palumbi¹

¹Department of Organismic & Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, U.S.A.
 ²Pacific Biomedical Research Center, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI 96816, U.S.A.
 ³Aquatic Farms, Ltd., Kaneohe, HI 96744, U.S.A.

Abstract: Intron sequences from the *elongation factor*-1 α (*EF*1 α) gene from the marine shrimp *Penaeus vannamei* reveal extensive variation even among inbred populations of hatchery-raised shrimp. Among 44 individuals analyzed, we found 13 alleles varying by up to 7.5% sequence differences, and including several allele-diagnostic insertions and deletions. High heterozygosity contrasts with low genetic variation at allozyme loci, but we observed up to four alleles per individual, suggesting that we have identified two separate, polymorphic loci. We partitioned the observed alleles into two groups representing hypothetical duplicated loci. However, the alleles are so similar to one another that a phylogenetic analysis does not cluster them into monophyletic groupings. A possible explanation is that concerted evolution is acting to homogenize genetic variation among these two putative loci.

Key words: EPIC-PCR, nuclear introns, shrimp, concerted evolution.

INTRODUCTION

The development of "universal" polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primers able to amplify a variety of mitochondrial genes from a broad array of taxa has resulted in an explosion of DNA sequence data (Kocher et al., 1989; Palumbi, 1996). One criticism of mitochondrial DNA studies is that the genes are linked and act as a single locus. Sequences of nuclear genes could serve as additional nonlinked genetic markers for population genetic studies and as markers for

pedigree analysis. These nuclear markers would differ from mitochondrial markers in their rates of evolution and biparental mode of inheritance. The ideal nuclear marker for intraspecific studies would show relatively high levels of neutral variation. One approach to finding such markers has been to target introns in highly conserved nuclear genes (Bradley and Hillis, 1997; Lessa, 1992). An added benefit of such introns is that "universal" primers can be designed that will anneal to regions in the highly conserved exons flanking noncoding introns. Palumbi and Baker (1994) termed this strategy EPIC-PCR, for exon-primed, intron-crossing PCR.

We have been using EPIC-PCR to study nuclear intron variation in hatchery-raised populations of the marine shrimp *Penaeus vannamei*. Our study began with a survey of several different nuclear loci (see primers in Palumbi, 1996), and we found that intron sequences from *elongation factor*-

Received July 17, 1998; accepted November 23, 1998.

 ^{*} Corresponding author. Present address: Department of Biology, College of Charleston,
 58 Coming St., Charleston, SC 29424 U.S.A.; telephone 843-953-7179; fax 843-953 5453; e-mail frances@cofc.edu

 $I\alpha$ (*EF1* α) were highly variable between species. The *EF1* α gene codes for a protein that is involved in the translation of messenger RNA protein, and the amino acid sequence is highly conserved among taxa from plants to animals (Palumbi, 1996). The number of introns in this gene varies among taxa (e.g., Hovemann et al., 1988; Walldorf and Hovemann, 1990), with some taxa apparently lacking introns (e.g., heliothine moths; Cho et al., 1995). The sequence of the coding region of the gene has been used in systematic studies of higher taxa (e.g., Kojima et al., 1993; Cho et al., 1995; Kobayashi et al., 1996; McHugh, 1997; Kojima, 1998).

In this report we will show that $EF1\alpha$ introns can be highly variable within populations, and so of use in intraspecific analyses of genetic variation. We will highlight potential problems, and solutions, involved in sequencing nuclear introns, as well as the data required to understand the basis of the variation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Hatchery-raised *Penaeus vannamei* came from a Hawaiian shrimp farm (Aquatic Farms, Ltd.), which was seeded from stock originating off the Pacific Coast of Central America. Wild *P. vannamei* were collected from the Gulf of California, and coastal waters of Panama, Peru, and two locations off Ecuador. Whole *P. vannamei* postlarvae, or pleopods only, were preserved and stored in 95% ethanol. DNA extraction from pleopod muscle followed protocol 1 from Hillis et al. (1996) with the following modifications: pleopods were cut open and briefly rinsed in STE buffer prior to mincing; digestion (200–250 μ l volume) and organic extractions were performed in PLG I tubes (5 Prime, 3 Prime, Inc.) for 2 hours to overnight; the initial phenol-only extraction was replaced by a phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) extraction.

Initial PCR amplifications used universal $EF1\alpha$ primers EF0 and EF2 (Palumbi, 1996). Subsequently, intronflanking primers were designed to anneal upstream (EF3s, 5'-GACAAGGCCCTCCGTCTTCC-3') and downstream (EF4s, 5'-GGGCACTGTTCCAATACCTC-3') of the single intron found within the EF0–EF2 fragment. Amplifications with intronflanking primers used the Perkin Elmer GeneAmp XL PCR kit with the following conditions: 50-µl reactions containing XL reaction buffer II (tricine, potassium acetate, glycerol, dimethyl sulfoxide), 1.5 mM Mg(OAc)₂, 160 µM of each dNTP, 1 unit of r*Tth* DNA polymerase, XL, and 0.2 µM of each primer. Reactions were run on a PE 9600 thermal cycler (or a PE 480 with appropriate modifications to cycling times) with an initial denaturation of 60 seconds at 94°C, followed by 30 cycles of 15 seconds at 94°C, 15 seconds at 55°C, 15 seconds at 72°C, and finally a 3-minute extension at 72°C. PCR products were visualized on an agarose gel and purified using the QIAgen PCR Purification kit.

Purified PCR products were ligated into an appropriate vector, either pBluescript (Stratagene), pCR2.1 (Invitrogen), or 5'3' vector (5 Prime, 3 Prime, Inc.), and subsequently transformed with either XL-1 Blue cells (Stratagene), One-Shot INVF' cells (Invitrogen), or 5'3' vector (5 Prime, 3 Prime, Inc.), following manufacturer's instructions. White or light-blue colonies were picked and subsequently screened by PCR using vector primers flanking the insert region and the following conditions: 25-µl reactions containing PE PCR reaction buffer II (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, 500 mM KCl, 2.5 mM MgCl₂), 160 μM of each dNTP, 0.55 units of PE Ampli Tag DNA polymerase, and 0.4 µM of each primer. Reactions were run on an MJ Research PTC-100 with an initial denaturation of 60 seconds at 94°C, followed by 30 cycles of 30 seconds at 94°C, 30 seconds at 55°C, 30 seconds at 72°C, and finally a 3-minute extension at 72°C. The entire reaction was loaded and run on a 1.5% SeaKem GTG agarose (FMC) gel in TAE buffer, and products containing inserts of an appropriate size were excised. These products were eluted from the gel using Supelco GenElute columns (Sigma Chemical Co.).

Inserts were cycle sequenced using Ampli *Taq*, FS (Perkin Elmer ABI), following manufacturer's protocols. Initial sequencing employed vector primers and intron-flanking primers in both forward and reverse orientations; once we were confident of the system, we settled on using the M13rev primer (5'-GAATTCAACAGCTATGACCATG-3'). Sequence reactions were run on an ABI fluorescent autosequencer (model 310 or model 377) and edited using Sequence Navigator (PE ABI). Sequence alignments and comparisons were done with SeqApp version 1.9a169 (D.G. Gilbert, 1992; available via anonymous ftp from ftp.bio. indiana.edu/molbio/seqapp). Phylogenetic analyses were performed using a test version of PAUP* version 4.0.0d64 (D.L. Swofford, 1998).

Intron sequences were aligned and clustered into related groups. Allele names were assigned to sequences that shared phylogenetically informative sites. In all but one case these sequences were derived from multiple individuals, i.e., alleles shared among different shrimp; the remaining case involved allele D3, which was found multiple times (independent PCR reactions) in a single individual (AFP5-13). Technically, the substitutions defining these alleles would not be considered as phylogenetically informative because they are found in only a single individual; however, they appeared in independent PCR reactions of the same individual, so may be considered rare alleles. Gaps (insertions/ deletions, or INDELs) were included as a character used to define alleles.

Results

Sequencing of PCR fragments generated with $EF1\alpha$ primers EF0 and EF2 revealed a single intron in this region in *Penaeus vannamei*. The intron was identified by alignment to other coding sequences in GenBank and by characteristic intron sequence motifs at the 5' (GT) and 3' (AG) ends. New primers, designed to flank the intron, amplified a fragment of approximately 260 bp. This fragment includes 27 bp of coding sequence at the 5' end and 36 bp at the 3' end (including primers), and an intron ranging in length from 191 to 200 bp. Electrophoretic patterns on agarose gel typically revealed a closely spaced pair of bands. We interpreted the fainter, upper band as an artifact of heteroduplex formation, and not a second gene locus. We cloned PCR products and cycle-sequenced 1 to 15 positive (insert-bearing) clones per PCR reaction.

Sequencing revealed a great deal of intraspecific variation. Thirteen identified alleles were discovered among 44 hatchery-raised individuals. These differed by substitutions at 24 nucleotide positions, as well as five different multibase INDELs (gaps in sequence alignment) (Figure 1). Only one site (site 25) showed multiple substitutions. Multibase INDELs at sites 24 to 30 (A), 37 to 38 (D4) and 189 to 190 (D1) were found in single alleles, whereas INDELs at 98 to 102 (alleles F, G, H, and I) were shared among alleles. Note that all the INDELs appear in a region displaying some form of repeat motif: allele A deletes one AAATGT repeat; D1 adds a CT repeat; D4 loses a GA repeat; and alleles F, G, H, and I share a deletion of an imperfect 5-bp repeat. Substitutions among alleles were divided into 13 transitions and 11 transversions, and one site with both. The average genetic distance (Kimura 2-parameter) among alleles is 2.9%, with a maximum of 7.5% (Table 1). Note that alleles A and B1 show a distance of 0 between them. The allele sequences are identical except for two INDELs, including the 5-bp deletion characteristic of the A allele.

	10	20	30	40	50
Allele A	GTAAGCATCC TAA	GAAATTG TTC	AAA	GTAATA TAGG	CTAAT [43]
Allele B		AC	ATGTT		
Allele B1		AZ	ATGTC		
Allele C		AC	ATGTT		[50]
Allele D1		AC	ATGTT		[50]
Allele D2		AC	ATGTT		[50]
Allele D3	C	T	ATGTT		[50]
Allele D4		AC	ATGTT		[50]
Allele E			ATGTC		[50]
Allele F		AC	ATGTT		[50]
Allele G		AC	ATGTT		[50]
Allele H		AC	SATGTT	A.	[50]
Allele I		AC	SATGTT		[50]
	60	70	80	90	100
Allele A	GGTCCAGATG TAA	FTTTCTA AGTAT	GGTAT TTA	ATGTTG AATT	TTTGTT [93]
Allele B					[100]
Allele B1					[100]
Allele C		C	T		[100]
Allele Dl			T		[100]
Allele D2	••••		T		[100]
Allele D3	••••	T	TG	•••••	[100]
Allele D4	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		T	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	[100]
Allele E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	.A [100]
Allele F	••••		T	G	[97]
Allele G	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	T		[97]
Allele H	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u>T</u>	T	[97]
Allele I					
			400		450
211-1- 2	110	120	130	140	150
Allele A	110 GTATGGCTAT TGT/	120 ATGTTT- ACATI	130 TGGGA GGG	140 GAGAAA TATTO	150 TATGT [142]
Allele A Allele B	110 GTATGGCTAT TGTA	120 ATGTTT- ACATT	130 TGGGA GGGI	140 GAGAAA TATTO	150 STATGT [142]
Allele A Allele B Allele B1	110 GTATGGCTAT TGT/	120 ATGTTT- ACATT	130 TGGGA GGGA	140 GAGAAA TATTO	150 STATGT [142] [149] [149]
Allele A Allele B Allele B1 Allele C	110 GTATGGCTAT TGT/	120 ATGTTT- ACATT	130 TGGGA GGGA	140 GAGAAA TATTO	150 STATGT [142] [149] [149] [149] [149]
Allele A Allele B Allele B1 Allele C Allele D1 Allele D2	110 GTATGGCTAT TGTM TC.G TC.G	120 ATGTTT- ACATT 	130 TGGGA GGGA	140 GAGAAA TATTO	150 STATGT [142] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149]
Allele A Allele B Allele B1 Allele C Allele D1 Allele D2 Allele D3	110 GTATGGCTAT TGT/ TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G	120 ATGTTT- ACATT 	130 TGGGA GGGA	140 IGAGAAA TATTO	150 STATGT [142] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149]
Allele A Allele B Allele C Allele C Allele D1 Allele D2 Allele D4	110 GTATGGCTAT TGT/ T C.G T C.G T C.G T C.G T C.G	120 ATGTTT- ACATT 	130 TGGA GGA	140 GAGAAA TATTO	150 FTATGT [142] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149]
Allele A Allele B Allele B1 Allele C Allele D1 Allele D2 Allele D3 Allele B4	110 GTATGGCTAT TGT/ TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G	120 ATGTTT- ACATI	130 TGGGA GGGA	140 GAGAAA TATTO	150 STATGT [142] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [147] [147]
Allele A Allele B Allele B1 Allele C Allele D1 Allele D2 Allele D3 Allele E Allele F	110 GTATGGCTAT TGTI TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G	120 ATGTTT- ACATI 	130 TGGGA GGGA	140 GAGAAA TATTC	150 STATGT [142] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [147] [147]
Allele A Allele B Allele B1 Allele C Allele D1 Allele D2 Allele D3 Allele B Allele F Allele F Allele G	110 GTATGGCTAT TGTJ TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G	120 ATGTTT- ACATI 	130 TGGGA GGGA	140 GAGAAA TATTO	150 TTATGT [142] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [144]
Allele A Allele B Allele B1 Allele C Allele D2 Allele D3 Allele D4 Allele F Allele G Allele H	110 GTATGCCTAT TGTT TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G	120 ATGTTT- ACATT T T T T T T T T T	130 TGGGA GGGA	140 GAGAAA TATTO	150 TTATGT [142] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [144] [144] [144]
Allele A Allele B Allele B1 Allele D1 Allele D2 Allele D3 Allele D4 Allele F Allele G Allele H Allele H	110 GTATGGCTAT TGTJ TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G	120 TGTTT- ACATT T T T T T T T T T T	130 TGGGA GGGA	140 (GAGAAA TATTY	150 TTATGT [142] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [144] [144] [144] [144]
Allele A Allele B Allele B1 Allele C Allele D2 Allele D3 Allele D4 Allele F Allele F Allele G Allele H Allele I	110 GTATGGCTAT TGTT TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G	120 NTGTTT- ACATT T T T T T T T T T T T T	130 TGGGA GGGA	140 GAGAAA TATT 	150 STATGT [142] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [141] [142] [144] [144] [144] [144]
Allele A Allele B Allele B Allele DI Allele DI Allele D3 Allele D4 Allele F Allele G Allele H Allele H Allele I Allele A	110 GTATGGCTAT TGTJ T. C.G T. C.G T. C.G T. C.G T. C.G T. C.G T. C.G T. C.G T. C.G T. C.G 160 ATAGCTTAGA AATC	120 TGTTT - ACATT 	130 TGGGA GGG A. A. A. A. 	140 GAGAAA TATTY 	150 STATGT [142] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [147] [147] [144] [144] [144]
Allele A Allele B Allele Bi Allele C Allele D Allele D2 Allele D3 Allele D4 Allele B Allele G Allele I Allele I Allele B	110 GTATGGCTAT TGTJ 	120 ATGTTF ACATT 	130 TGGGA GGGJ A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.	140 (GAGAAA TATTY 	150 STATGT [142] STATGT [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [144] [144] [144] [144] [144] [144] [144] [144] [148] [148] [198]
Allele A Allele B Allele Bi Allele C Allele D1 Allele D2 Allele D3 Allele D3 Allele G Allele F Allele G Allele H Allele B Allele Bi	110 GTATGCCTAT TGTT TC.G T	120 ATGTTF - ACATI 	130 TGGGA GGGJ A 180 GAATT TCTC	140 GAGAAA TATIX 	150 STATGT [142] STATGT [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [147] [144] [144] [144] 200 SATACAG [191] [197]
Allele A Allele B Allele Bi Allele C Allele Di Allele D2 Allele D2 Allele D4 Allele D4 Allele F Allele G Allele H Allele A Allele B Allele B Allele B	110 GTATGGCTAT TGTJ 	120 ATGTTF ACATT 	130 TGGGA GGGJ A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A.	140 IGAGAAA TATTX 	150 STATGT [142] STATGT [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [144] [144] [144] [144] [198] [198]
Allele A Allele B Allele Bi Allele C Allele Di Allele D2 Allele D3 Allele D3 Allele B Allele G Allele G Allele A Allele B Allele Bi Allele D1 Allele D1 Allele D1	110 GTATGGCTAT TGTT T. C.G T. C.G 160 ATAGCTTTGA AATC	120 TGTTF ACATT T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T 	130 TTGGGA GGGA A A 180 TGAATT TCTC	140 (GAGAAA TATTY 	150 STATGT [142] STATGT [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [144] [144] [144] 200 STATCAG [191] [198] [197] [198] [197] [198]
Allele A Allele B Allele B Allele C Allele D Allele D Allele D Allele D Allele A Allele A Allele A Allele A Allele B Allele B Allele B Allele D Allele D	110 GTATGGCTAT TGTJ TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G TC.G 160 ATAGCTTTGA AATC	120 ATGYTT - ACATY 	130 TTGGA GGA A A 180 GAATT TCTC	140 IGAGAAA TATIX 	150 STATGT [142] STATGT [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [141] [141] 200 XHTACAG [191] [198] [198] G [198] G [198]
Allele A Allele B Allele Bi Allele C Allele D2 Allele D3 Allele D3 Allele B Allele G Allele G Allele G Allele A Allele A Allele B Allele B Allele B Allele D3 Allele D3 Allele D3	110 GTATGGCTAT TGTT 	120 TGTTF ACATT 	130 TTGGA GGG A GGGA A A 180 GAATT TCTC	140 GAGAAA TATTY 	150 STATGT [142] STATGT [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [144] [144] [144] [144] [144] [197] [198] G [200] [198] G [198]
Allele A Allele B Allele Bi Allele Di Allele Di Allele D2 Allele D2 Allele D4 Allele D4 Allele F Allele G Allele G Allele B Allele Bi Allele D1 Allele D1 Allele D2 Allele D2 Allele D4	110 GTATGGCTAT TGTJ T. C.G T. C.G T. C.G T. C.G T. C.G T. C.G T. C.G T. C.G T. C.G 160 ATAGCTTTGA AATT	120 ATGTTT - ACATT T	130 TTGGA GGA A. 	140 IGAGAAA TATTX 	150 STATGT [142] STATGT [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [141] [141] [144] [144] [144] [144] [144] [198] G [198] G [198]
Allele A Allele B Allele Bi Allele C Allele D Allele D Allele D Allele D Allele A Allele A Allele G Allele H Allele A Allele B Allele B Allele B Allele D Allele D Allele D Allele D Allele D Allele D Allele B Allele B	110 GTATGGCTAT TGTJ TC.G 	120 ATGTTF ACATT 	130 TTGGA GGG A GGGA A A A A A A A A A A A A A	140 IGAGAAA TATTX 	150 STATGT [142] STATGT [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [144] [144] [144] [144] [198] G [198] G [198] G [198] G [198] G [198]
Allele A Allele B Allele B Allele D Allele D Allele D2 Allele D3 Allele D3 Allele B Allele G Allele G Allele G Allele B Allele B Allele B Allele B1 Allele D1 Allele D1 Allele D2 Allele D3 Allele D4 Allele D3 Allele D4 Allele D3 Allele D4 Allele B3 Allele D4 Allele B4 Allele B4 Allele D3 Allele D3 Allele D4 Allele B4 Allele B5	110 GTATGGCTAT TGTT T. C.G T. C.G 160 ATAGCTTTGA AATC	120 TGTTF ACATT 	130 TTGGA GGG A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	140 (GAGAAA TATTY 	150 STATGT [142] STATGT [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [144] [144] [144] [144] [144] [198] [197] [198] [1
Allele A Allele B Allele Bi Allele Di Allele Di Allele D2 Allele D2 Allele D4 Allele D4 Allele B Allele G Allele B Allele B Allele B Allele B Allele D2 Allele D2 Allele D4 Allele D4 Allele D4 Allele D4 Allele D4 Allele D4 Allele D4 Allele D4 Allele D4 Allele G	110 GTATGGCTAT TGTJ TC.G 	120 ATGYTT- ACATT 	130 TGGA GGG A GGGA A A A 180 GGATT TCTC	140 IGAGAAA TATTX 	150 STATGT [142] STATGT [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [144] [144] [144] [144] [144] [144] [144] [144] [149] [198
Allele A Allele B Allele Bi Allele C Allele D2 Allele D3 Allele D3 Allele D4 Allele B Allele G Allele G Allele A Allele B Allele B Allele B Allele D1 Allele D1 Allele D2 Allele D3 Allele D4 Allele D3 Allele D4 Allele D4 Allele B Allele B	110 GTATGGCTAT TGTT T. C.G T. C.G 	120 TGTTT ACATT 	130 TTGGA GGG A GGGA A A A A A A A A A A A A A	140 GAGAAA TATTY 	150 STATGT [142] STATGT [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [149] [144] [144] [144] [144] [198] G [198] G [198] [198] [198] [198] [198] [198] [193]

Figure 1. Alignment of *Penaeus vannamei* $EF1\alpha$ intron allele sequences.

Within an allele class, a number of sequences that were otherwise identical showed unique substitutions at various sites. This may represent polymerase error early in a PCR reaction, or rare alleles. In some cases, variants within allele classes were observed among multiple clones from a single individual, but not shared between individuals. For example, in the sequences of allele F derived from nine clones from two independent PCR reactions of individual AFP5-13, two substitutions are observed, as well as 15 ambiguous bases. These unique variants were interpreted as resulting from polymerase error and thus not considered to represent alternative alleles.

We also surveyed $EF1\alpha$ intron variation in 12 Penaeus vannamei individuals collected from four locations along the Central and South American coast. Among the 21 clones analyzed from these shrimp, 17 unique sequences were identified (Figure 2); none were identical to the alleles found in the Hawaiian hatchery-raised shrimp, although one differed by only four INDELs from allele D4. The range of variation among the sequences was the same as in the

Table 1.	Table 1. Kimura 2-Parameter Distances between EFIα Intron Alleles*											
	А	В	B1	С	D1	D2	D3	D4	Е	F	G	Н
Allele A												
Allele B	0.0053											
Allele B1	0.0000	0.0155										
Allele C	0.0267	0.0310	0.0365									
Allele D1	0.0324	0.0365	0.0422	0.0155								
Allele D2	0.0160	0.0206	0.0261	0.0102	0.0153							
Allele D3	0.0435	0.0582	0.0585	0.0365	0.0417	0.0362						
Allele D4	0.0216	0.0261	0.0317	0.0051	0.0103	0.0051	0.0313					
Allele E	0.0267	0.0418	0.0259	0.0524	0.0472	0.0419	0.0748	0.0480				
Allele F	0.0331	0.0373	0.0431	0.0158	0.0105	0.0158	0.0430	0.0106	0.0482			
Allele G	0.0220	0.0265	0.0322	0.0158	0.0210	0.0052	0.0426	0.0106	0.0485	0.0211		
Allele H	0.0388	0.0429	0.0489	0.0211	0.0264	0.0210	0.0482	0.0159	0.0653	0.0265	0.0264	
Allele I	0.0275	0.0319	0.0376	0.0105	0.0157	0.0104	0.0372	0.0053	0.0540	0.0158	0.0157	0.0210

.. .

*Note that alleles A and B1 are identical except for a 7-bp INDEL at positions 24-30 and a single INDEL at position 170.

previously identified alleles, and maximum genetic distance (Kimura 2-parameter) between intron sequences from South American and Hawaiian hatchery-raised shrimp was 8.0%. Only one sequence was shared by individuals collected from multiple locations: sequence Peru-1a was also found in a shrimp collected off coastal Ecuador.

Polymerase Errors

To estimate polymerase error rate, we compared the sequences of a cloned PCR product to those generated by amplifying and recloning this insert. After sequencing and identifying a particular cloned insert, that clone was used as a template in a new amplification of the $EF1\alpha$ intron using PCR primers EF3s and EF4s. Two PCR experiments were conducted, one using Taq polymerase (USB) and the other using rTth/Vent polymerase (PE GeneAmp XL), which includes proofreading capability. The resultant PCR products were cloned, and two complete copies were sequenced from each PCR experiment. Because the template in the initial PCR was a single clone, variation in the resultant sequences can be attributed to polymerase error. Among four clones derived from a reamplified insert, we observed two substitutions, one from each polymerase, accounting for a low error rate of 0.19%. This error rate is too low to be solely responsible for the high levels of variation observed in the intron, and thus we believe polymerase error is not generating the observed alleles. However, the error rate per clone (50%) is high enough to suggest that single unique substitutions within allele sequences (singletons sensu Villablanca et al., 1998) may often be due to polymerase errors during genomic amplifications.

More Than Two Alleles

We initially analyzed 34 shrimp to determine their intron genotypes. We sequenced up to 10 clones per individual and assigned sequences to nominal alleles (Figure 3). All shrimp but one (AFP4-1) with more than two clones sequenced showed heterozygous genotypes. However, many individuals had more than two alleles (e.g., AFP4-5 and AFP5-8). Most individuals for which we sequenced more than five clones showed three or more $EF1\alpha$ alleles (Figure 3). Because in vitro recombination is known to generate artifactual sequences during PCR amplification (Pääbo et al., 1990), we repeated the initial intron amplification in several individuals and conducted new ligation, cloning, screening, and sequencing steps to generate replicate sets of allelic sequences. In all cases the alleles identified in the second round were the same as those found initially. This result rules out random recombination generating allele sequences, and suggests the multiple alleles found within these individuals are present in the genomes of these shrimp.

	10) 2	3 3	0 4	0 50	0 60
Milolo D4						· · ·
Peru-1b		IAAGAAAIIG	IICAGAIGII	AAAIGIAAIA	TA-GOTCTAA	TGGTCCAGAT
Peru-la						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Peru-6b			AC			
Ecu-la			AC			
Pan-6a			AC			
Pan-2a	· · · · · · · · · · ·			• • • • • • • • • • • •		
ECU-5D	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •		
Chan-4a Chan-2b			• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	T
Chan-3b				• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••	••••
Pan-4a					· · A · · · · · · · ·	
Peru-6a			ctc			т.
Peru-5a	G					C.M.T.W
Peru-5b						М.Т
Ecu-5a		• • • • • • • • • • •				T
chan-sa		•••••	Cr	•••••	•••••	T
	70			10	0 110	120
			, j.			120
Allele_D4	GTAATTTTCT	AAGTATGGTT	TTTAAATGTT	GAATTTTTGT	TGTATGGTTA	TCGGA-GTTT
Peru-1b						T
Peru-la	• • • • • • • • • • • •	A	• • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · A	• • • • • • • • • • •	T.T
Peru-6p		· · · · · · · · · · A	• • • • • • • • • • •	A	• • • • • • • • • • • •	.T.T.T.A.
Pan-6a	•••••	A	• • • • • • • • • • •	A	• • • • • • • • • • • •	T.T
Pan-2a		۰۰۰۰۰ A				T.T
Ecu-5b						
Chan-4a						
Chan-2b	G					
Chan-3b				.G		
Pan-4a		• • • • • • • • • • •		A.		
Peru-sa	• • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	.G		· · · · · T · · · ·
Peru-Sh	• • • • • • • • • • • •	.w	•••••	.G		T
Ecu-5a				G		·····
Chan-3a				.G		т
	130	140	150	160) 170	180
Allele D4	130 TACATTTOGG	140 AGGGAGAA	150	160) 170	180
Allele_D4 Peru-1b	130 TACATTTGGG	140 AGGGAGAA GA.	150 ATATTGTATG	160 TATAGCTTTG) 170 AAATGAACTT	180 g-стаатдаа
Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-1a	130 TACATTTGGG 	140 AGGGAGAA GA. .AGA.	150 ATATTGTATG) 160 TATAGCTTTG) 170 AAATGAACTT	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-1a Peru-6b	130 TACATTTGGG 	140 AGGGAGAA GA. .AGA. .AGA.	150 ATATTGTATG	D 16(TATAGCTTTG) 170 AAATGAACTT T	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-1a Peru-6b Ecu-1a	130 TACATTTGGG 	140 AGGGAGAA GA. .AGA. .AGA. .AGA.	150 ATATTGTATG	D 160) 170 AAATGAACTT T T	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-6b Ecu-1a Pan-6a	130 TACATTTGGG	140 AGGGAGAA GA .AGA .AGA .AGA .AGA	150 ATATTGTATG) 16(TATAGCTTTG) 170 AAATGAACTT T T	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-1a Peru-6b Ecu-1a Pan-6a Pan-2a Fau-Eb	130 TACATTTGGG	140 AGGGAGAA GA. .AGA. .AGA. .AGA. GA.	150 ATATTGTATG	D 160) 170 AAATGAACTT T T	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-1a Peru-6b Ecu-1a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-4a	130 TACATTTOGG	1400 AGGGAGAA GA .AGA .AGA .AGA .AGA GA GA	150 ATATTGTATG	D 160) 170 	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-6b Ecu-1a Pan-6a Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-4a Chan-2b	130 TACATTTOGG	1400 AGGGAGAA GA .AGA .AGA .AGA .AGA GA GA GA	150 ATATTGTATG	D 160) 170 AAATGAACTT T T	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-6b Ecu-1a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-4a Chan-2b Chan-3b	130 TACATTTGGG 	140 AGGGAGAA GA .AGA .AGA .AGA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA	150 ATATTGTATG	D 160) 170 AAATGAACTT T	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-lb Peru-db Ecu-la Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-2a Chan-4a Chan-2b Chan-3b Pan-4a	130 TACATTTOGG 	140 ACGCAGAA GA .AGA .AGA .AGA .AGA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA	150 ATATTGTATG) 160 TATAGCTTTG) 170 AAATGAACTT T 	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-lb Peru-la Peru-6b Ecu-1a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-2b Chan-2b Chan-3b Pan-4a Peru-6a	130	140 AGGGAGAA GA .AGA .AGA .AGA .AGA GA GA GA GA GA GA	150 ATATTGTATG) 16() 170 AAATGAACTT T. 	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-lb Peru-la Peru-6b Ecu-la Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-4a Chan-2b Chan-2b Pan-4a Peru-5a	130	140 AGGGAGAA GA .AGA .AGA .AGA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA	150 ATATTGTATG) 16() 170 AAATGAACTT 	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-lb Peru-la Peru-6b Ecu-1a Pan-6a Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-2b Chan-2b Chan-2b Chan-2b Pan-4a Peru-6a Peru-5b	130 TACATTIGG 	140 ACGCGAGAA GA .AGA .AGA .AGA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA	150 ATATTGTATG) 16() 170 AAATGAACTT T	180 G-CTARTGAR
Allele_D4 Peru-lb Peru-la Peru-d6 Ecu-la Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-4a Chan-2b Pan-4a Peru-6a Peru-6a Peru-5a Ecu-5a	130	140 AGGGAGAA AGA AGA AGA AGA AGA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA	150 ATATTGTATG) 16() 170 AAATGAACTT T	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-lb Peru-la Deru-6b Ecu-1a Pan-6a Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-4a Chan-3b Pan-4a Peru-5a Peru-5a Peru-5a Chan-3a	130	140 AGGGAGAA A. GA. A. GA. A. GA. A. GA. GA. GA. GA. GA. GA. GA. GA. GA. GA.	150 ATATTGTATG) 160) 170 AAATGAACT 	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele D4 Peru-lb Peru-la Peru-d6 Ecu-la Pan-d6 Pan-d2 Ecu-5b Chan-d2 Chan-d2 Peru-5a Peru-5a Peru-5a Ecu-5a Chan-3a	130 TACATTTGGG 	140 ACCGAGAA AGA AGA AGA AGA AGA AGA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA CGA CGA CGA CGA	150 ATATTGTATG	D 160) 170 AAATGAACTT T	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-lb Peru-la Peru-d6 Ecu-la Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-4a Chan-2b Pan-4a Peru-6a Peru-6a Peru-5a Chan-3a	130 TACATTTGGG 	140 AGGGAGAA AGA AGA AGA AGA AGA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA C	150 ATATTGTATG) 160) 170 AAATGAACTT TT	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-lb Peru-la Peru-d5 Ecu-1a Pan-6a Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-4a Chan-2b Chan-3b Pan-4a Peru-5a Peru-5a Peru-5a Chan-3a	130 TACATTTGGG 	140 ACCGAGAA AGA AGA AGA AGA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA	150 ATATTGTATG W.	D 160) 170 AAATGAACTT 	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-1a Peru-6b Ecu-1a Pan-6a Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-4b Chan-2b Chan-4b Paru-6a Peru-6a Peru-5a Ecu-5a Chan-3a Allele_D4 Peru-1b	130 TACATTTGGG 	140 AGGGAGAA AGA AGA AGA AGA AGA GA GA GA GA GA CGA CGA CGA C	150 ATATIGTATG W) 160 TATAGCTTTG) 170 AAATGAACTT T. 	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-lb Peru-la Peru-db Ecu-la Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-4a Chan-2b Chan-2b Chan-3b Paru-5a Peru-5a Peru-5a Chan-3a Allele_D4 Peru-lb Peru-lb	130 TACATTTGGG 	140 ACCGAGAA AGA AGA AGA AGA AGA GA GA GA GA GA CA CA GA CA GA CA GA CA GA CA GA CA CA GA CA GA GA CA GA GA GA CA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA G	150 ATATTOTATG W.) 170 AAATGAACTT T	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-lb Peru-la Peru-d6 Ecu-la Pan-d6 Pan-d2 Chan-d2 Chan-d2 Chan-d2 Chan-d2 Peru-d6 Peru-d6 Peru-b6 Peru-b6 Peru-lb Peru-lb Peru-la Peru-d6 Peru-la Peru-d6	130 TACATTTGGG	140 AGGGAGAA AGA AGA AGA AGA AGA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA C	15(ATATTGTATG) 160) 170 AAATGAACTT T. 	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-lb Peru-d6 Ecu-1a Pan-2a Ecu-5a Chan-4a Chan-2b Chan-2b Chan-2b Peru-5a Peru-5a Peru-5a Chan-3a Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b	130 TACATTTGGG	140 AGGGAGAA AGA AGA AGA AGA AGA GA GA GA GA GA GA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA C	150 ATATTGTATG) 160) 170 AAATGAACTT TT	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-lb Peru-la Peru-d5 Ecu-1a Pan-6a Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-3b Pan-4a Chan-3b Pan-4a Peru-5a Peru-5a Peru-5a Chan-3a Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-2a	130 TACATTIGGG	140 AGCGAGAA AGA AGA AGA AGA AGA GA GA GA GA GA GA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA	15(ATATTGTATG) 16() 170 AAATGAACTT T. 	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-lb Peru-la Peru-d5 Ecu-la Pan-6a Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-4a Chan-2b Paru-6a Peru-6a Peru-5a Chan-3a Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-2b Ecu-1a Pan-2a Ecu-1a Pan-2a Ecu-5b	130 TACATTTGGG	140 AGGGAGAA AGA AGA AGA AGA AGA GA GA GA GA GA CGA CGA CGA CGA CGA CG	150 ATATTGTATG W.) 160) 170 AAATGAACTT T. 	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-lb Peru-la Peru-db Ecu-la Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-4a Chan-2b Dan-4a Peru-5a Peru-5a Peru-5a Chan-3a Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Ecu-1a Pan-6a Peru-5a Chan-3a	130 TACATTIGGG T	140 ACCGAGAA AGA AGA AGA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA	150 ATATTOTATG) 160) 170 AAATGAACTT T	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-6b Ecu-1a Pan-6a Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-4a Chan-3b Peru-6a Peru-6a Peru-5a Ecu-5a Chan-3a Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1a Peru-6a Peru	130 TACATTTGGG	140 AGGGAGAA AGA AGA AGA AGA AGA GA GA GA GA GA GA CGA CGA CGA CGA CGA	150 ATATIGTATG W) 16(TATAGCTTTG) 170 AAATGAACT TT. TT.	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-lb Peru-d6 Ecu-1a Pan-6a Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-4a Chan-2b Chan-3b Peru-6a Peru-6a Peru-5b Ecu-5b Chan-3a Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Chan-3a Chan-3a	130 TACATTTGGG T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T	140 ACCGAGAA AGA AGA AGA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA	150 ATATTOTATG) 160) 170 AAATGAACTT TT	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-1a Peru-6b Ecu-1a Pan-6a Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-4a Chan-3b Pan-4a Peru-5a Peru-5a Ecu-5a Chan-3a Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-6a Peru-6a Ecu-1a Pan-2a Ecu-1a Pan-2a Chan-3b Pan-4a Peru-6a P	130 TACATTTGGG	140 AGGGAGAA AGA AGA AGA AGA AGA GA GA GA GA GA GA CGA CGA CGA CGA CGA	150 ATATIGTATG) 16(TATAGCTTTG) 170 AAATGAACTT TT. TT.	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-lb Peru-la Peru-d6 Ecu-la Pan-6a Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-4a Chan-2b Paru-6a Peru-6a Peru-6a Peru-5a Chan-3a Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1c Peru-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-2b Chan-3b Pan-4a Peru-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-7b Chan-3b Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-6a Pan-7b Chan-7b Pan-6a Pan-7b Pan-6a Pan-7b Pan-6a Pan-7b Pan-6a Pan-7b Pan-6a Pan-7b Pan-6a Pan-7b Pan-6a Pan-7b Pan-6a Pan-7b Pan-6a Pan-7b Pan	130 TACATTTGGG	140 AGGGAGAA AGA AGA AGA AGA AGA GA GA GA GA GA GA GA CGA GA CGA CGA C	150 ATATTGTATG) 160) 170 AAATGAACTT TT	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-lb Peru-la Peru-d5 Ecu-la Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-4a Chan-2b Chan-3b Peru-5a Peru-5a Peru-5a Chan-3a Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-1b Peru-2b Ecu-1e Pan-2a Ecu-5a Chan-3a	130 TACATTIGGG T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.	140 ACCGAGA A AGA AGA AGA GA	150 ATATTOTATG) 170 AAATGAACTT T	180 G-CTAATGAA
Allele_D4 Peru-1b Peru-1a Ecu-16 Ecu-16 Ecu-16 Pan-6a Pan-2a Ecu-5b Chan-4b Peru-6a Peru-5a Peru-5a Ecu-5a Chan-3b Peru-5a Peru-5b Ecu-16 Peru-16 Peru-16 Peru-16 Peru-16 Peru-16 Peru-6a Pan-6	130 TACATTTGGG	140 AGGGAGAA AGA AGA AGA AGA AGA GA GA GA GA GA CGA CGA CGA CGA CGA CG	150 ATATIGTATG) 160) 170 AAATGAACT TTT	180 G-CTAATGAA

Figure 2. Alignment of *Penaeus vannamei* $EF1\alpha$ intron sequences derived from 21 clones from individuals collected off coastal Panama (Pan-), Ecuador (Ecu-, Chan-), and Peru. Allele D4 is presented for comparison with identified alleles.

DISCUSSION

Results from intron amplification and sequencing show a large amount of nuclear genetic heterozygosity in both aquaculture and wild populations of *Penaeus vannamei*. This is in contrast to results from shrimp allozyme studies, in which observed heterozygosity is 5% or less (Mulley and Latter, 1980; Benzie et al., 1992), lower than seen in crustaceans and invertebrates in general (Hedgecock et al., 1982; Solé-Cava and Thorpe, 1991). Allozyme surveys of *P. van*-



Figure 3. Distribution of $EF1\alpha$ intron genotypes in assayed *Penaeus vannamei* individuals. AFP4 and AFP5 prefixes indicate shrimp from two 1996 hatchery ponds; AS14 and AS15 are from two 1995 hatchery ponds. The VG- and PV-labeled shrimp were caught wild in the Gulf of California. Countershading of alleles B and D1–D4 highlights hypothesized second locus (see text).

namei also show low heterozygosity ($\overline{H} = 0.017$), with only 3 of 26 assayed loci shown to be polymorphic (5% level) across the range of this species (Sunden and Davis, 1991). Heterozygosity in aquaculture populations is even lower (Sunden and Davis, 1991; Garcia et al., 1994).

Our assumption at the start of the project was that the $EF1\alpha$ primers would amplify an intron from a single gene locus and that Penaeus vannamei has 2N copies of each gene (i.e., diploid system). Under these assumptions we should recover a maximum of two alleles from a heterozygous individual. However, extensive sequencing of multiple cloned PCR products from individuals often revealed more than two allelic sequences. Experiments show that these alleles are too different to have been the result of polymerase errors. Random crossing-over of incomplete PCR extension products in a heterogeneous template mixture can lead to novel recombination sequences (Pääbo et al., 1990; Bradley and Hillis, 1997). However, replicate PCR amplifications gave identical results, suggesting in vitro recombination of alleles during PCR cannot explain the large number of alleles. In addition, from the initial 34 shrimp, a total of only 11 alleles were found among 129 clones, suggesting that if random recombination is occurring, it is relatively



Figure 4. Distribution of $EF1\alpha$ intron genotypes from *Penaeus vannamei* individuals from 1997 hatchery pond. The unique intron sequence found in individual P55/P56 was found in only a single PCR reaction and thus has not yet been assigned allele status.

rare. The $EF1\alpha$ intron primers were designed to target a short amplification fragment and thus require short extension time. This, in addition to the stable polymerase mixture used, makes it unlikely that fragment extension is not completed in each cycle, and therefore less likely for partial amplification products to act as templates in succeeding cycles. Another possibility for the greater-than-expected allelic variation is polyploidy, which has been reported in decapods (Lécher et al., 1995). However, previous studies of chromosome structure in *P. vannamei* revealed a diploid genome (Chow et al., 1990).

An alternative explanation is that there are multiple $EF1\alpha$ loci in *P. vannamei* and that these multiple loci are amplified by primers EF3s and EF4s. Among taxa for which data are available, $EF1\alpha$ is apparently a single-copy gene in the moths (Cho et al., 1995), and was likewise reported so for vertebrates such as chickens, humans, and rabbits, although more recently multiple loci have been found in humans (e.g., Lund et al., 1996). *Drosophila* (Hovemann et al., 1988) and honey bees (Danforth and Ji, 1998) have two divergent copies of $EF1\alpha$ with different intron arrangements, while frogs have three copies (Dje et al., 1990) and *Artemia* may have as many as four copies and four introns (Lenstra et al., 1986). Amplification of two paralagous loci could account for up to four alleles in doubly heterozygous individuals, with a minimum of two alleles in homozygotes.

We have examined allele frequencies among shrimp for patterns that would be consistent with a two-locus gene structure. The division of alleles presented in Figure 3 is in agreement with a diploid two-locus system, and thus we proposed the hypothesis that in *P. vannamei*, sequences A, B1, C, F, G, and H are alleles at locus $EF1\alpha$ -1, and B, D1, D2, D3, and D4 are alleles at locus $EF1\alpha$ -2. After formu-



Figure 5. A: Hypothetical phylogenetic tree showing reciprocal monophyletic clades expected if two loci have diverged at some distant time in the past. **B:** Observed 50% majority-rule consensus of 90 equally parsimonious trees of $EF1\alpha$ intron alleles from hatchery-raised *Penaeus vannamei* and *P. stylirostris* outgroups. To compute the maximum parsimony trees, gaps were coded as "newstate" and a branch-and-bound algorithm was used. Numbers on internal branches indicate the proportion of the 90 most-parsimonious trees in which the clade was supported.

lating this hypothesis, we amplified and sequenced $EF1\alpha$ introns from 10 additional *P. vannamei* obtained from a new hatchery stock. The observed genotypes were consistent with the hypothesized locus structure (Figure 4). Note that novel alleles (E and I) were discovered in this new hatchery stock. We had previously noted a pattern of novel alleles appearing in independent hatchery stocks. For example, alleles D3, D4, and H were first seen in shrimp from the 1996 brood stock; alleles B1 and F1, which were present in shrimp from the 1995 brood stock, were not found among individuals from 1996. The "novel" alleles H and I were not rare when found and suggest a small number of parents in the crosses establishing the stocks.

We found no evidence of heterogeneity in coding sequence downstream of the intron among several individuals, and sequence variation between species is also small at silent sites in coding regions (N. Tachino and S.R. Palumbi, unpublished results), suggesting low divergence between these putative loci. If the two $EF1\alpha$ loci arose from a duplication at some time in the past, then one would expect to see reciprocally monophyletic clades of intron alleles representing each locus. A phylogenetic analysis of the intron sequences did not segregate the alleles into two distinct clades (Figure 5). In addition, *P. vannamei* intron alleles are more closely related to each other (7.6% max. difference) than any are to introns from its sister species *P. stylirostris* (14.2%–22.3%) or the more distantly related *P. monodon* (T.F. Duda and S.R. Palumbi, manuscript in preparation). This suggests that if a gene duplication has occurred, it has probably occurred since the divergence of these species. An alternative is that concerted evolution, driven by unequal recombination between these similar loci or some other process of gene conversion, is homogenizing genetic variation among these two loci, resulting in allelic variants that do not necessarily cluster phylogenetically. Further evidence is needed on the nature of alleleic variation at these two loci, through pedigree studies or genomic cloning, and on whether or not other penaeid shrimp have similar loci.

Acknowledgments

Our thanks to Frank Cipriano, Matt Hare, and Robin Stears for providing welcome technical assistance. This work was supported in part by Phase I and Phase II SBIR Awards; DMI 9596168, and DMI 9628476, to Aquatic Farms Ltd. from the Small Business Innovative Research Program of the National Science Foundation.

References

Benzie, J.A.H., Frusher, S., and Ballment, E. (1992). Geographical variation in allozyme frequencies of populations of *Penaeus mo-nodon* (Crustacea: Decapoda) in Australia. *Aust J Mar Freshwater Res* 43:715–725.

Bradley, R.D., and Hillis, D.M. (1997). Recombinant DNA sequences generated by PCR amplification. *Mol Biol Evol* 14:592– 593.

Cho, S., Mitchell, A., Regier, J.C., Mitter, C., Poole, R.W., Friedlander, T.P., and Zhao, S. (1995). A highly conserved nuclear gene for low-level phylogenetics: *elongation factor-1* α recovers morphology-based tree for heliothine moths. *Mol Biol Evol* 12:650– 656.

Chow, S., Dougherty, W.J., and Sandifer, P.A. (1990). Meiotic chromosome complements and nuclear DNA contents of four species of shrimps of the genus *Penaeus. J Crust Biol* 10:29–36.

Danforth, B.N., and Ji, S. (1998). Elongation factor- 1α occurs as two copies in bees: implications for phylogenetic analysis of EF- 1α sequences in insects. *Mol Biol Evol* 15:225–235.

Dje, M.K., Mazabrand, A., Viel, A., le Maire, M., Denis, H., Crawford, E., and Brown, D.D. (1990). Three genes under different developmental control encode elongation factor $1-\alpha$ in Xenopus laevis. Nucleic Acids Res 18:3489–3493.

Garcia D.K., Faggart, M.A., Rhoades, L., Alcivar-Warren, A.A., Wyban, J.A., Carr, W.H., Sweeney, J.N., and Ebert, K.M. (1994). Genetic diversity of cultured *Penaeus vannamei* shrimp using three molecular genetic techniques. *Mol Mar Biol Biotechnol* 3:270–280.

Hedgecock, D., Tracey, M.L., and Nelson, K. (1982). Genetics. In: *The Biology of Crustacea, Vol. 2: Embryology, Morphology, and Genetics*, Abele, L.G. (ed.). New York: Academic Press, 283–403.

Hillis, D.M., Mable, B.K., Larson, A., Davis, S.K., and Zimmer, E.A. (1996). Nucleic acids, IV: sequencing and cloning. In: *Molecular Systematics*, Hillis, D.M., Moritz, C., and Mable, B.K. (eds.). Sunderland, Mass.: Sinauer Associates, Inc., 321–381.

Hovemann, B., Richter, S., Walldorf, U., and Cziepluch, C. (1988). Two genes encode related cytoplasmic elongation factors 1 alpha (EF-1 α) in *Drosophila melanogaster* with continuous and stage specific expression. *Nucleic Acids Res* 16:3175–3194.

Kobayashi, M., Wada, H., and Satoh, N. (1996). Early evolution of the metazoa and phylogenetic status of diploblasts as inferred from amino acid sequence of elongation factor- 1α . *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 5:414–422.

Kocher, T.D., Thomas, W.K., Meyer, A., Edwards, S.V., Pääbo, S., Villablanca, F.X., and Wilson, A.C. (1989). Dynamics of mitochondrial DNA evolution in animals: amplification and sequencing with conserved primers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 86:6196–6200.

Kojima, S. (1998). Paraphyletic status of Polychaeta suggested by phylogenetic analysis based on the amino acid sequence of elongation factor-1 α . *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 9:255–261.

Kojima, S., Hashimoto, T., Hasegawa, M., Murata, S., Ohta, S., Seki, H., and Okada, N. (1993). Close phylogenetic relationship between Vestimentifera (tube worms) and Annelida revealed by the amino acid sequence of elongation factor-1 α . *J Mol Evol* 37: 66–70.

Lécher, P., Defaye, D., and Noel, P. (1995). Chromosomes and nuclear DNA of Crustacea. *Invert Reprod Dev* 27:85–114.

Lenstra, J.A., Van Vliet, A., Arnberg, A.C., Van Hemert, F.J., and Möller, W. (1986). Genes coding for the elongation factor EF-1 α in *Artemia. Eur J Biochem* 155:475–483.

Lessa, E.P. (1992). Rapid surveying of DNA sequence variation in natural populations. *Mol Biol Evol* 9:323–330.

Lund, A., Knudsen, S.M., Vissing, H., Clark, B., and Tommerup, N. (1996). Assignment of human elongation factor-1 alpha genes:

EEF1A maps to chromosome 6q14 and EEF1A2 to 20q13.3. *Genomics* 36:359–361.

McHugh, D. (1997). Molecular evidence that echiurans and pogonophorans are derived annelids. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 94:8006– 8009.

Mulley, J.C., and Latter, B.D.H. (1980). Genetic variation and evolutionary relationships within a group of thirteen species of penaeid prawns. *Evolution* 34:904–916.

Pääbo, S., Irwin, D.M., and Wilson, A.C. (1990). DNA damage promotes jumping between templates during enzymatic amplification. *J Biol Chem* 265:4718–4721.

Palumbi, S.R. (1996). Nucleic acids, II: the polymerase chain reaction. In: *Molecular Systematics*. Hillis, D.M., Moritz, C., and Mable, B.K. (eds.). Sunderland, Mass.: Sinauer Associates, Inc., 205–247.

Palumbi, S.R., and Baker, C.S. (1994). Contrasting population

structure from nuclear intron sequences and mtDNA of humpback whales. *Mol Biol Evol* 11:426–435.

Solé-Cava, A.M., and Thorpe, J.P. (1991). High levels of genetic variation in natural populations of marine lower invertebrates. *Biol J Linn Soc* 44:65–80.

Sunden, S.L.F., and Davis, S.K. (1991). Evaluation of genetic variation in a domestic population of *Penaeus vannamei* (Boone): a comparison with three natural populations. *Aquaculture* 97:131– 142.

Villablanca, F.X., Roderick, G.K., and Palumbi, S.R. (1998). Invasion genetics of the Mediterranean fruit fly: variation in multiple nuclear introns. *Mol Ecol* 7:547–560.

Walldorf, U., and Hovemann, B.T. (1990). Apis mellifera cytoplasmic elongation factor 1α (EF- 1α) is closely related to *Drosophila* melanogaster EF- 1α . FEBS Lett 267:245–249.