Technical Report Documentation Page			
1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.		3. Recipient's Catalog No.
SWT-2017-12			
4. Title and Subtitle			5. Report Date
Sensor Fusion: A Comparison of Sensing Capabilities of Human Drivers and Highly Automated Vehicles		Human	August 2017
			6. Performing Organization Code
			383818
Prondon Schoottle			8. Performing Organization Report No.
Brandon Schoettle			SWT-2017-12
9. Performing Organization Name and Address			10. Work Unit no. (TRAIS)
The University of Michigan			
Sustainable Worldwide Transportation			11. Contract or Grant No.
2901 Baxter Road			
Ann Arbor Michigan 48109.	2150 US A		
	2150 0.5.11.		
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address The University of Michigan			Covered
The University of Michigan			
Sustainable worldwide I rans	sportation		14. Sponsoring Agency Code
45. Ourseless Mater			
Information about Sustainable	Worldwide Transportation is	available at	
http://www.umich.edu/~umtriswt			
16. Abstract This white namer analyzes and compares the sensing canabilities of human drivers and highly			
automated vahialas. The key findings from this study are as follows:			
• Machines/computers are generally well suited to perform tasks like driving especially in regard to			
reaction time (speed), nower output and control, consistency, and multichannel information processing			
• Human drivers still generally maintain an advantage in terms of reasoning percention and sensing			
• Human drivers sum generany maintain an advantage in terms of reasoning, perception, and sensing			
Mitching (or exceeding) human consing canabilities requires autonomous vahiales (AVs) to			
• Matching (or exceeding) human sensing capabilities requires autonomous venicles (AVS) to			
ellipson a variety of sensors, which in turn requires complete sensor fusion across the system, combining			
an sensor inputs to form a unified view of the suffounding foadway and environment.			
• while no single sensor completely equals numan sensing capabilities, some offer capabilities not			
possible for a numan driver.			
• Integration of connected-venicle technology extends the effective range and coverage area of both			
does not require unchetructed line of eight the year human drivers and AVs generally de			
does not require unobstructed in	ne of signt the way numan drive	ers and Avs ge	the sine construction and constitution
• Combining numan-univer venicles of AVS that can see traffic and their environment maximizes potential			
connected venicles (CVS) that can talk to other traffic and their environment maximizes potential			
awareness of outer foatuway users and foatuway conditions.			
• A v sensing will still be critical for detection of any foad user of foadway obstacle that is not part of the interconnected dedicated short range communications (DSPC) system used by CVs			
of the interconnected dedicated short-range continuincations (DSRC) system used by CVS.			
- A runy implementatic connected autonomous vehicle offers the best potential to effectively and			
Salety replace the human univer when operating vehicles at INFLISA automation levels 4 and 5.			
self-driving autonomous vehicle human driver driver performance songing Unlimited			
sensors radar lidar connected vehicle, connected autonomous vehicle			
sensors radar lidar connected	e, human driver, driver perform	ance, sensing,	18. Distribution Statement Unlimited
sensors, radar, lidar, connected	e, human driver, driver perform vehicle, connected autonomous	iance, sensing, vehicle	18. Distribution Statement Unlimited
sensors, radar, lidar, connected 19. Security Classification (of this report)	e, human driver, driver perform vehicle, connected autonomous 20. Security Classification (of this page)	vehicle 21. No. of Pages	<ol> <li>18. Distribution Statement</li> <li>Unlimited</li> <li>22. Price</li> </ol>